

FALSE CLAIMS REVEAL

# hidden opportunities



A REPORT PREPARED FOR

**The Hon Bob Baldwin, MP and  
Senator Simon Birmingham**

This report is in response to Senator Birmingham's apparent reliance on false claims by the Australian Government's Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) in the Senator's letter dated December 18th, 2014 to Malcolm Roberts

This report clarifies with comprehensive yet succinct detail and necessary context to address BOM's advice to Senator Birmingham by summarising and presenting seven years' independent research. In so doing it addresses these key questions:

- Should the UN and any unelected foreign bodies continue to control Australian energy, resources, industry and employment?
- Should such unelected foreign agencies decide unilaterally what is sustainable in our country and should they grant, modify, or revoke human rights in Australia? OR,
- Should these matters and the human rights of all Australians and the values and laws of our country be enshrined in, and protected by, the Australian constitution?<sup>1</sup>

This report identifies opportunities for The Hon Bob Baldwin and Senator Birmingham, for their party and for the government and people of Australia

## **Malcolm Ieuan Roberts**

Friday, 27 February, 2015

## **The Hon Bob Baldwin**

*Member for Paterson*

*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment*

PO Box 156, Raymond Terrace, NSW, 2324

Ph: 02 4983 1330; Fax: 02 4987 5444

Bob.Baldwin.MP@aph.gov.au

Copied to parliamentary office

## **Senator Simon Birmingham**

*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment*

*from 18.9.13 to 23.12.14*

*Assistant Minister for Education and Training*

107 Sir Donald Bradman Drive, Hilton SA 5033

Ph: Free 1300 301 638; 08 8354 1644; Fax: 08 8354 1655

senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au

Copied to parliamentary office.

---

1 <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/RightQuestionClimateChange.pdf>

# Contents

Executive Summary	iv
Overview	1
My qualifications, credentials and background	5
Fundamentals and methods	6
Myths exposed	6
UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, UN IPCC	7
Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) – empirical scientific evidence	9
Earth's temperature – empirical scientific evidence	10
More myths exposed	11
Australian agencies – CSIRO, BOM, Chief Scientist	13
Academics prominent in advocating climate alarm	16
Other agencies – including America's NASA-GISS, NOAA	18
Implementing the UN's political campaign	19
Costs to Australia and Australians	24
Australian Tax	26
Positive Solutions for the federal government and for Australia	27
Summary	29
Conclusions	30
Political cost to the Liberal party – and opportunity	31
Immediate opportunities and action	34

## Executive Summary

This report relies on and summarises empirical scientific evidence, the ultimate arbiter of science and the sound, ethical and fair basis for policy.

It does so after confirming my credentials and summarising seven years' independent investigations with the world's leading climate scientists, senior UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Lead Authors and Review Editors, CSIRO Chief Executive and Group Executive-Environment, Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) Director, prominent climate academics, journalists and members of parliament. Written requests including federal Freedom of Information (FOI) processes initially sought empirical scientific evidence and later focused on scientific and political processes.

Data shows that the much publicised "97% consensus of climate scientists" claiming human carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) causes catastrophic warming is really "0.3%" with none having empirical scientific evidence for their claim. Concerns expressed in the body of the August 2010 report on UN IPCC processes by the world's peak scientific academic body, the Inter Academy Council undermine all 800 UN IPCC confidence and likelihood statements. UN IPCC science reports contain no empirical scientific evidence of *human causation*.

Atmospheric temperature measurements show no warming for almost 20 years and ground-based measurements prove no significant warming in natural warming-cooling cycles since the start of measurements in 1660. Empirical data prove human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot effect global temperature or climate variability and show temperature changes cause changes in CO<sub>2</sub> levels, the opposite of the UN IPCC's core claim.

Responses from CSIRO, BOM and academics funded by ALP-Greens commissions contain no empirical scientific evidence of humans causing climate variability yet official reports and statements by a small closely connected group imply such evidence. BOM fabricated warming by 'adjusting' past and recent temperature data. Former CSIRO Chief Executive Megan Clark was on major international bank boards while advocating a CO<sub>2</sub> 'trading' scheme aiming to create trillions of dollars in profits for those banks. CSIRO 'scientists' funded by taxpayers and speaking at international conferences advocated unelected global governance.

Unfounded climate claims and advocacy for CO<sub>2</sub> 'trading' schemes are traced to Maurice Strong, founding Secretary-General in 1972 of the UN Environmental Program that sponsored the UN IPCC and director of the Chicago Climate Exchange trading CO<sub>2</sub> credits. He initiated and drove the UN's Rio Declaration Agenda 21<sup>2</sup> for twenty first century unelected global governance signed in 1992 by Paul Keating's Labor government. ALP and Greens' party policies include UN Agenda 21 and evidence proves senior ALP-Greens MPs fabricated global warming using misrepresentations and misappropriation of taxpayer funds to legislate their CO<sub>2</sub> tax and 'trading' scheme. Climate Change Minister Greg Combet directed tens of millions of dollars to Australia's largest wind turbine operator owned by the union movement's Industry Super Holdings Pty. Ltd. that he directed prior to entering government.

UN rules, regulations and restrictions on energy and resources waste billions of dollars, destroying wealth, killing employment and stealing people's private property rights. Proven solutions are presented to end political expediency on climate and to restore integrity and Australia's constitutional governance, prosperity and security.

# Overview

This overview introduces a comprehensive understanding of the data and factual context plus key players undermining Australian sovereignty, governance and prosperity. This understanding will reveal solutions – creating immense opportunities for you, our Federal Government and all Australians.

## My qualifications, credentials and background

- Similar to those of UN IPCC chair, Rajendra Pachauri, author of UN IPCC science reports
- Rigorous statutory qualifications and responsibilities for hundreds of lives based on knowledge of atmospheric gases including carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- Study of Statistical Process Control (SPC) at quantitatively analytical University of Chicago Booth Graduate School of Business and successful practical business application doubling productivity based on understanding the difference between ongoing inherent natural variation and ‘process change’

## Fundamentals and methods

- Used empirical scientific evidence – measured data – the decider of science
- Consulted world’s prominent climate scientists, academics and agencies
- Evaluated empirical climate data.
- Identified motives and tangible and intangible systems subtly driving behaviour, attitudes and community beliefs

## Myths exposed

- *“97% of climate scientists claim human CO<sub>2</sub> causes catastrophic warming” is really a 0.3% smattering, all with no empirical scientific evidence of cause. Statements in 2008 by Kevin Rudd, the Greens party and the UN IPCC that “4,000 UN IPCC scientists claim human CO<sub>2</sub> caused warming” is really only 5 UN IPCC Reviewers endorsing the core claim of human CO<sub>2</sub> as cause*
- UN IPCC does not rely on scientific peer review as claimed. It bypasses, manipulates and prevents proper scientific peer review

## UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, UN IPCC

- UN IPCC processes were reviewed by the world’s peak scientific academic body the Inter Academy Council (IAC). In the *body* of its August 2010 report the IAC identified serious concern for many issues including bias, political interference, conflicts of interest, and ‘claims of certainty without evidence’ thereby undermining all 800 UN IPCC confidence and likelihood statements
- *UN IPCC reports contain no empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing climate variability*
- UN IPCC contradicts empirical scientific evidence and its own claims

### **Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) – empirical scientific evidence**

- *Oceans contain 50-70 times more CO<sub>2</sub> in dissolved form than in our entire atmosphere. Solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> is highly sensitive to water temperature. As ocean temperatures cool oceans absorb massive quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> from the air; as oceans warm they liberate massive quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> to the air. This basic principle determines CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air*
- IPCC data, variability patterns and the physics of Henry's Law confirm human CO<sub>2</sub>, regardless of amount produced, can have no effect on CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air
- *Temperature changes precede and cause changes in CO<sub>2</sub> levels – the opposite of the UN IPCC's core claim*
- As CO<sub>2</sub> is essential to life and nature controls its level, it's not a pollutant

### **Earth's temperature – empirical scientific evidence**

- The UN IPCC's claimed 'greenhouse' effect is a supposed atmospheric effect. Accurate satellite and radiosonde measurement of atmospheric temperature both show no warming for 20 years despite record human production of CO<sub>2</sub>
- Ground-based temperature measurements confirm no warming for 20 years
- Australian temperatures were warmer from 1860-1890 than today
- Ever-present, ongoing climate variability is entirely natural

### **More myths exposed**

- CO<sub>2</sub> is not pollution. It's natural and essential for life
- Human CO<sub>2</sub> is not causing catastrophe. Empirical scientific evidence on 'extreme' weather events show no process change in natural variability
- The 'target' of limiting future warming to two degrees (2°C) was fabricated
- The 'Greenhouse effect' relied on by the UN IPCC was dismissed by contemporary science and the American Meteorological Society in 1951
- The science is not settled that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes climate variability. Instead, statistical analysis of data shows no process change has occurred in entirely natural climate variability

### **Australian agencies – CSIRO, BOM, Chief Scientist**

- *Freedom of Information request on CSIRO Chief Executive and Bureau of Meteorology confirm no evidence of human causation was given to members of parliament from 2005-2013*
- All three agencies have no empirical scientific evidence of humans causing climate variability
- All three agencies contradict empirical scientific evidence
- BOM tampered with temperature recordings to convert cooling into warming
- CSIRO scientists advocate global governance at international conferences
- Former CSIRO Chief Executive Megan Clark's conflicts of interest as a director on boards of two international banks benefitting from CO<sub>2</sub> 'trading'

### **Academics prominent in advocating climate alarm**

David Karoly, Will Steffen, Tim Flannery, Ove Hoegh-Guldberg, Ross Garnaut, Lesley Hughes, Matthew England, Kurt Lambeck, Andy Pitman:

- None has any empirical scientific evidence of human causation
- All contradict empirical scientific evidence
- All were funded by ALP-Greens government pushing CO<sub>2</sub> trading
- Strong connections with organisations pushing unelected global governance

### **Other agencies – including America’s NASA-GISS, NOAA**

- Both illustrate how UN captured key agencies using a small group of media-savvy advocates who tamper with temperature data to fabricate measurements where none existed, convert cooling trends to warming and exaggerate natural cyclical temperature variation to fabricate warming
- NASA-GISS is silent on accurate NASA satellite atmospheric temperatures confirmed by weather balloon radiosondes. All reveal no warming
- Both agencies have no empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing climate variability
- Both contradict empirical scientific evidence

### **Implementing the UN’s political campaign**

- *Paul Keating’s ALP government started implementing the UN’s political campaign in December 1992*
- UN Rio Declaration Agenda 21 campaign for unelected global governance to control energy, resources and private property was initiated by Maurice Strong in 1992 who earlier initiated unfounded climate alarm to justify Agenda 21 controls
- Small group of prominent agencies and academics use corrupt data to fabricate alarm and small group of ALP-Greens MPs distort science to panic people using fear and misrepresentations of CO<sub>2</sub> as ‘carbon pollution’
- Alarming future catastrophes were falsely forecast and UN/CSIRO/BOM campaigns misrepresent climate science to journalists and government media (eg, ABC) to spread fear that drove voters to demand political action
- Vested interests were aligned to give support in return for financial payback. eg, alternative energy providers, complicit industry groups, academic grants
- Small group of Liberal MPs assisted with UN Agenda 21 while some played pure political expediency due to fear of media backlash

### **Costs to Australia and Australians**

- Higher cost of agriculture, fishing, food; energy, electricity & transport
- Damage to our environment and science; children infected with unfounded fear and guilt; eroding of Australia’s sovereignty and governance
- *Political damage compounded by our inefficient, destructive tax system*
- *The ALP-Greens want a CO<sub>2</sub> tax to transfer billions of dollars to the UN for its revenue and admit wanting UN control of our people, property, resources and sovereignty. The core issues are tax and our constitution.*

## **Positive Solutions for the federal government and for Australia**

- Support a fair and simple tax system proven to be efficient, practical and productive to quickly end the ALP-Greens debt burden and restore prosperity
- Restore governance by our national constitution and restore state sovereignty. End UN Agenda 21 or at least discuss it publicly for the people to decide
- Restore proven system of government-issued currency instead of borrowing from banks. This will reduce hidden inflation and hidden unemployment currently destroying wealth and will instead boost and sustain prosperity

## **Summary & conclusions**

- A key question is: Where is the empirical scientific evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes climate variability? There is none. The evidence shows human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot affect global climate
- ALP-Greens legislation based on misrepresentations and fabrications serving a foreign agenda and aided by Liberal political expediency and timidity squanders our country's wealth and brought our country to the cliff edge
- Unfounded climate alarm is about who runs Australia: Australians or the UN?
- Proven solutions exist for Liberal MPs to restore and protect Australia

## **Political cost to the Liberal party – and opportunity**

- Our country could not withstand another ALP-Greens government. That will take us over the cliff. In 2013 voters looked to the Liberal Party
- Yet backbenchers rightly express voter dissatisfaction with Liberal Party actions based on leaders' ignorance or political timidity
- Tony Abbott needs to return to using his skills in boldly setting the agenda
- Fulfil voters' core needs: physical safety; security of property; manage budgets for economic security and vibrant industry for our future prosperity and family livelihoods; restore Australian values and laws and ensure sustainability of our democratic institutions and traditions; and clear, confident, competent, trusted leadership based on facts

## **Immediate opportunities and action**

- Meet to fully understand the issues in depth, aided if desired, by internationally eminent scientists and experts
- Conduct a transparent Royal Commission into climate and an independent recalculation of temperature followed by using our constitution's section 75(v)
- Repeal all legislation based on, or in any way connected with, unfounded climate alarm and repeal all orders and actions enabling or supporting UN Rio Declaration Agenda 21. Withdraw from UN Agenda 21 and do not sign any UN FCCC agreement in Paris later this year. Restore Australian sovereignty
- Demonstrate care and integrity by immediately restoring and protecting Australia using solutions proven in our country's history for protecting people's livelihoods, lifestyle, security and national prosperity.



Your speeches and media releases indicate we share a desire to cut real pollution and to care for our precious natural environment and humanity. Interactions with members of parliament in recent years show that many Members of Parliament (MPs) value integrity, truthfulness, reliability and accuracy and want to identify opportunities for progress. Yet parliamentary workloads are daunting with scant opportunity to get to core data and facts. Understandably, party politics brings distractions and pressures as public opinions massaged by media are mangled with powerbrokers' colourful personal agenda. Almost eight years after Kevin Rudd fabricated global warming and later global climate change into a confusing and polarising national issue, we all need the matter resolved. Voters want understanding of core scientific evidence to bring reassurance that either there is no problem or if there is a problem, that it can be addressed. People seek resolution, unity and parliamentary leadership and accountability.

Senator Birmingham, given the complexity of the issue raised by the penultimate paragraph in your letter dated December 18th, 2014 I trust this necessarily comprehensive response to your letter complies with your website's guidelines for correspondence, adequately explains the situation and meets your needs for accuracy and understanding.

## My qualifications, credentials and background

My qualifications are similar to those of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC) chairman Rajendra Pachauri who wrote parts of UN IPCC science reports. He graduated as a railway engineer and later with a masters and then PhD in industrial engineering and economics. Some journalists label him "the world's top climate scientist" despite his many documented conflicts of financial and other interests.<sup>3</sup> My personal interests were declared in 2009 and my declaration remains public.<sup>4</sup> I am not affiliated with any political party and receive no funding or material support from any industry, individual or organisation. I graduated as a mining engineer (hons) based on the earth science geology and with knowledge of atmospheric gases including carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub>, to ensure healthy underground mine ventilation. My later qualifications go further with rigorous statutory qualifications and statutory responsibility for hundreds of people's lives based on my knowledge of gases including CO<sub>2</sub>. I have a masters degree in business and leadership including study of Statistical Process Control (SPC) at the quantitatively rigorous University of Chicago Booth School of Business. SPC is the basis of practical methods and systems for measuring performance that assisted me in doubling productivity at several businesses in Australia and overseas by recognising differences between ongoing inherent natural variation and process change.<sup>5</sup> My understanding of ways that tangible and intangible systems subtly drive behaviour developed over 31 years and personal exploration of the Human Condition over 16 years assists in identifying drivers of behaviour and attitudes shaping community perceptions, beliefs, and motives. My qualifications, skills, background, interests and research enable me to provide a complete, comprehensive, holistic approach for you. I am on LinkedIn as Malcolm Ieuan Roberts.

3 Appendix 2, section 11, page 21 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

4 [http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/__documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf)

5 One illustrative example of a process change is in the 'Run Charts' diagram

<http://www.conscious.com.au/key-definitions.html> or

Page 35 Figure 8 [http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity\\_single.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/__documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf)

## Fundamentals and methods

---

Empirical scientific evidence decides science.

---

Engineers implement discoveries made by scientists and we understand the scientific method and process. The ultimate arbiter of science is empirical scientific evidence: ie, repeated, verified, objective physical measurements, observations and data. It is the first duty of every person exploring science to remain authentically sceptical about a claim until empirical scientific evidence and logical connections proving causation are confirmed. This requires a statistically valid, rigorous and practical method for identifying any change to a process and the causes of each process change.

My method seeks empirical scientific evidence by examining climate data and by consulting the world's prominent climate scientists, academics including arguably the most significant UN IPCC Lead Author and Review Editor central to the UN IPCC's core claim, David Karoly, and Australian agencies – CSIRO, BOM - working closely with the UN IPCC. Methods included written requests, phone conversations and federal Freedom of Information (FOI) requests on CSIRO and BOM. Written responses were received from agencies and many academics.

Driven by my need for truth, I produced a body of knowledge described independently by some as the most comprehensive practical resource citing empirical scientific evidence with documentation of behavior of climate academics, scientists, members of parliament, agencies and journalists. My work includes data cited and relied upon by the UN IPCC and is available at my website [www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au) with climate at [www.climate.conscious.com.au](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au).

The electronic copy of this report and letter emailed to you makes it easy for you and your staff to verify via this page [www.climate.conscious.com.au](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au) and then by clicking on 'Letter to the Hon Bob Baldwin MP and Senator Birmingham'

## Myths exposed

### Myth 1: the claimed consensus

Over the last eight years, ALP-Greens politicians and prominent academics they funded, established myths that led many people, including some MPs, to be confused about science. A minority of prominent ALP-Greens MPs and some agencies including the UN IPCC aided by popular media promoted the perception of an overwhelming consensus among climate scientists that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes catastrophic global climate variability.

---

The 97% consensus is really a 0.3% smattering

---

Analysis of the data shows that the paper by the University of Queensland's Climate Communication Fellow John Cook and co-authors fabricating a 97% consensus of climate scientists claiming catastrophe is a gross distortion. John Cook's fabricated 97% consensus is actually a 0.3% smattering<sup>6</sup> and in written responses from John Cook he repeatedly failed to identify any empirical scientific evidence provided by that tiny smattering of academics for their claim of humans causing catastrophic climate variability.

---

6 Appendix 5, Section 2, pages 3-6 and notably bottom of page 4 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

As revealed below, the empirical scientific evidence on CO<sub>2</sub> confirms that smattering contradicts empirical scientific evidence. Despite this, John Cook's misrepresentations have been spread internationally after being publicly cited by President Obama's staff. Another now-infamous widely cited study falsely claiming a consensus is by Naomi Oreskes who omitted 11,000 papers to conjure an unfounded consensus used to make unsubstantiated and false claims.<sup>7</sup>

In 2008, UN IPCC Chair Rajendra Pachauri and former Prime Minister Kevin Rudd<sup>8</sup> repeatedly spread across Australia the notion that 4,000 (anonymous) UN IPCC scientists claim human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming. UN IPCC figures though reveal that the 2007 UN IPCC science report's chapter 9, the sole chapter claiming warming and attributing it to human causation, was endorsed by only five UN IPCC reviewers.

### **Myth 2: UN IPCC's claimed reliance on peer review**

Rajendra Pachauri, Kevin Rudd and other prominent ALP-Greens MPs and prominent academics dependent on ALP-Greens taxpayer funding spread the claim that UN IPCC reports rely on "100 percent" peer-reviewed scientific journals. Among many investigators, Canadian investigative journalist Donna Laframboise reveals the UN IPCC cited and relied upon 5,587 articles not peer-reviewed, including political activists' campaign material, newspaper articles and bushwalkers' stories.<sup>9</sup> The notorious Climategate scandal and many other examples illustrate the UN IPCC and some prominent academics have manipulated, bypassed and actively prevented peer-review.

The ultimate decider of science is not peer review or claims of consensus. Instead, science is decided by empirical scientific evidence. From my investigations, those with such evidence confidently share it while those lacking the evidence understandably hide that fact with irrelevant claims.

## **UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, UN IPCC**

The epicentre of climate alarm is the UN IPCC that made five reports to national governments and media in 1990, 1995, 2001, 2007 and 2013. UN IPCC Expert Science Reviewer Dr. Vincent Gray reviewed all five reports and personally confirmed that they contain no empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing warming. He says UN IPCC reports contain scant, if any, evidence of (statistically) significant warming. His review comments on the 2007 report are available in their entirety<sup>10</sup> and demonstrate his fastidiousness and understanding of science after more than 60 years as a research scientist including more than 22 years on climate. He publicly confirms the UN IPCC is unscientific.<sup>11</sup>

7 Prof. Fred Singer: [http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2011/06/science\\_and\\_smear\\_merchants.html](http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2011/06/science_and_smear_merchants.html) and Appendix 13b, page 11-13 relating to material in Appendix 13a: <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>  
<http://heartland.org/press-releases/2014/10/16/heartland-policy-brief-exposing-merchants-smear>  
<http://joannenova.com.au/2011/07/this-is-not-journalism-wendy-carlisle/>  
<http://joannenova.com.au/2011/06/oreskes-clumsy-venomous-smear-campaign-busted/>

8 Appendix 12, pages 3-4 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

9 Appendix 2, Section 4, page 13 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>  
Appendix 2: Section 10, pages 19-20; Section 8, pages 17-18; Section 13, pages 23-28; Section 1, pages 4-6; Section 11, page 21; Section 12, pages 21-23 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

10 Vincent Gray's work <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au>

11 [http://www.klimanotizen.de/2008.07.12\\_Gray\\_Spinning\\_the\\_Climate.pdf](http://www.klimanotizen.de/2008.07.12_Gray_Spinning_the_Climate.pdf)

---

Unvalidated and failed UN computer models are not empirical evidence.

---

Each of the last three UN IPCC ‘science’ reports contains only one chapter claiming unusual warming and attributing it to human CO<sub>2</sub>: 2001/chapter 12, 2007/chapter 9, 2013/chapter 10.<sup>12</sup> My detailed analysis of each chapter reveals that the UN IPCC has no empirical scientific evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> changes global climate. Each chapter carefully and imaginatively implies evidence yet stated ‘observations’ are in reality output generated by unvalidated computerised numerical models whose projections have already been proven wrong empirically. The UN IPCC’s 2007 Table 2-11 admits that more than 80% of factors on which the models are based are of low or very low level of understanding.<sup>13</sup> The models failed to predict the current ongoing absence for two decades of any warming. Failing so soon in predictions made in 1990 and more recently confirms UN IPCC models cannot accurately predict 100 years ahead. In responses to my requests for empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing warming, the Lead Author for 2001/chapter 12 and Review Editor for 2007/chapter 9, David Karoly has always and repeatedly failed to provide such evidence.<sup>14</sup>

---

The UN has no empirical evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing climate variability.

---

By charter under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UN FCCC) the UN IPCC assumes human sources of climate change and ignores or downplays natural factors and hides natural climate variability thereby destroying science. The world’s peak academic scientific body, the Inter Academy Council (IAC) reviewed UN IPCC reporting processes and procedures and the body<sup>15</sup> of its August 2010 report raises many serious issues including conflicts of interest, political interference, bias, failure to review reports scientifically, and vague statements and implied claims of certainty not supported by evidence. These undermine all of the UN IPCC science report’s 800 likelihood and confidence statements as unsupported conjecture.<sup>16</sup> UN IPCC guidelines dictate that UN IPCC science reports are released after the Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) written by politicians and that science reports are modified to suit the political SPM previously released to media and governments.<sup>17</sup> Each of its five reports is based on a fabrication.<sup>18</sup> Each has progressively raised the claimed level of confidence from “confident” in 1990 to “95% certainty” in 2013 using labels selected politically to falsely imply appearances of scientific or statistical certainty. The UN IPCC has attracted a variety of criminal activities and vested interests. It was formed jointly by the UN’s World meteorological Organization (WMO) that corrupted selected national weather bureau and by the UN Environmental Program led initially by Maurice Strong who reportedly fled to China after being sought by American authorities investigating criminal activities.

Despite meeting with Greg Hunt and giving him this material and much more, he and the ALP-Greens publicly claim their position and policies are based on UN IPCC reports yet those reports contain no empirical scientific evidence of warming by human CO<sub>2</sub>.

---

12 Appendix 2, section 15, pages 28-41; Appendix 2, section 2, pages 7-12 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

13 Appendix 2, page 14 and section 2, pages 7-12 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

14 Appendix 2, section 2, pages 7-12 and Appendix 9 pages 6-14 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

15 The report’s executive summary was written by UN IPCC supporters and misrepresents the body of the report

16 Appendix 2, section 1, pages 4-6 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

17 Appendix 2, section 5, pages 13-15 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>, and pages 5-7 [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom\\_exposing.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf) noting Prof. Tim Ball’s quote

18 Appendix 2, section 19, page 42 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and page 5 [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom\\_exposing.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf)

## Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) – empirical scientific evidence

Carbon dioxide is a naturally occurring colourless, invisible, odourless trace atmospheric gas essential for life on Earth. It is less than 0.04% of our air and is highly soluble in water with its solubility highly sensitive to water temperature so that like warm flat beer, warmer water absorbs less CO<sub>2</sub> than does colder water. In 1803 William Henry discovered this well recognised science since accepted into chemistry as one of our Gas Laws known as Henry's Law. The UN IPCC's 2007 science report admits Earth's oceans contain more than 50 times as much CO<sub>2</sub> in dissolved form than is in earth's entire atmosphere. Other sources claim 70 times. The dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and the CO<sub>2</sub> in air are in a balance that varies with ocean temperature: as ocean surface temperature rises CO<sub>2</sub> is released into the air raising CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air and as the ocean surface cools CO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed reducing CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air. Earth's surface is almost three-quarters (71%) ocean with southern hemisphere ocean area 50% more than northern ocean area. Oceans have vast storage capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> and for heat and despite depths of up to 11 km ocean surface area is large relative to depth. During the southern hemisphere winter as the extensive southern ocean surface cools it absorbs huge quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere and drives global CO<sub>2</sub> levels dramatically lower completely overpowering human CO<sub>2</sub> output. In southern hemisphere summers, warming ocean surfaces release huge quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> that raise global levels. That's confirmed empirically by seasonal variation obvious in measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> levels cited and relied upon by the UN IPCC (see Keeling Curve).

The late Prof. Lance Endersbee<sup>19</sup> explains precise empirical correlation of CO<sub>2</sub> levels and ocean surface temperature concluding: "The correlation is remarkable and would be considered a perfect correlation in laboratory tests." He cites data from publicly available temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> levels, the latter being the same source as cited by the UN IPCC. If humans stopped producing CO<sub>2</sub> oceans would simply release a relatively minuscule amount more CO<sub>2</sub> to maintain the balance in air that depends on ocean temperature. If humans produced many times more CO<sub>2</sub> oceans would simply retain a relatively negligible amount of CO<sub>2</sub>. This is confirmed by Henry's Law and by empirical evidence showing nature determines CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air<sup>20</sup> proving that human CO<sub>2</sub> can have no effect on the global variability or level of CO<sub>2</sub> in air.<sup>21</sup>

Ice core data show that the overall trend in the air's CO<sub>2</sub> levels rises 1,000 years after a rise in earth's temperature. The delay is considered to be due to the time required to warm earth's entire ocean after changes in solar activity. A thousand years ago earth was warmer than today and oceans are now still outgassing CO<sub>2</sub>. As with seasonal variation, longer-term temperature changes drive changes in CO<sub>2</sub> trends. This is the opposite of the UN IPCC's core claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> drives temperature and climate. Compounding this are variable quantities of geothermal heat released at the ocean bed with activity correlating empirically with weather patterns.<sup>22</sup>

---

Empirical evidence proves human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot effect the level of CO<sub>2</sub> in air.

---

19 [http://icecap.us/images/uploads/Focus\\_0808\\_endersbee.pdf](http://icecap.us/images/uploads/Focus_0808_endersbee.pdf)

20 Scientist Dr. Murray Salby and Canadian climatologist Prof. Tim Ball, Appendix 2, pages 9-10 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

21 Appendix 4 provides empirical scientific evidence from many sources – sections 2 & 3 discuss CO<sub>2</sub> <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

22 <http://www.bosmin.com/SeismicWeather.pdf>

The UN IPCC deliberately excludes 90,000 reliable measurements<sup>23</sup> of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels recorded over the last 200 years, including those taken by Nobel science prizewinners. Some show CO<sub>2</sub> levels up to 40% above current levels contradicting UN IPCC claims of unusually high current CO<sub>2</sub> levels based on measurements from only the last 60 years. Current CO<sub>2</sub> levels are not unusually or abnormally high, they are close to the lowest in earth's history.<sup>24</sup>

Despite receiving empirical scientific evidence on CO<sub>2</sub>, ALP-Greens MPs and Greg Hunt publicly make unfounded claims contradicting empirical evidence. Why?

## Earth's temperature – empirical scientific evidence

The second factor in the UN IPCC's core claim is temperature with the UN IPCC implying earth is experiencing unusual ongoing warming. The lower atmosphere, or troposphere, contains most of the mass of Earth's air and extends up to around 17,000 metres in altitude with satellite measurement using NASA satellites since 1979 providing accurate temperature measurements through the Earth System Science Center at the University of Alabama in Huntsville (UAH) and Remote Sensing Systems (RSS). Canadian statistician Ross McKittrick confirms that the data shows almost 20 years without any warming trend. This is supported by weather balloon radiosonde temperature measurements from 1958.

Both methods are ignored by the UN IPCC that instead uses ground-based measurements at a height of 2 metres on a tiny portion of earth's 29% landmass to represent global atmospheric temperature. These are discredited though due to non-compliance with standards (the USA network is the world's best yet 90% of its stations fail to meet standards) and due to documented deliberate fabrication of data by NASA-GISS and our BOM. Nonetheless, Dr. Phil Jones, former head of the UN IPCC's temperature database admitted no ground-based warming trend since 1995.

*From the start of atmospheric temperature measurement in 1958 it has been cooling or flat (no warming) for almost 70% of the time. From the UN IPCC's formation there has been no warming for 70% of the time. Australian summer temperatures measured by satellite reveal only natural variation with no warming at all since the start of measurement in 1979, 36 years ago. Our earth's atmosphere and surface are not warming.*

---

The rooster crowing at sunrise is not evidence the rooster caused the sun to rise.

*Paraphrasing Aung San Suu Kyi*

---

Since the industrial revolution in 1850, purely natural warming, cooling, warming, cooling, warming cycles with varying periods of stasis have prevailed. Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) temperature data reveals Australia was warmer from 1860 to 1890 when heatwaves were far more severe than today and empirical data reveal cooling in rural weather stations over the last 120 years. Globally and in Australia, three warming cycles in the late nineteenth century, early-mid twentieth century and late twentieth century were similar in amount and duration of warming.

The world's longest continuous thermometer measurement is the Central England Temperature record revealing several warming, cooling and stasis cycles similar to those of the last 120 years with the warming from 1690 to 1720 being longer and greater

---

23 <http://drtimball.com/2011/ernst-georg-beck-a-major-contributor-to-climate-science-effectively-sidelined-by-climate-deceivers/> and: [http://www.biomind.de/nogreenhouse/daten/EE%2018-2\\_Beck.pdf](http://www.biomind.de/nogreenhouse/daten/EE%2018-2_Beck.pdf)

24 Prof. Ian Plimer A Short History of Planet Earth page 128 excerpted <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/EarthHistoryPlimer2.pdf>

than any warming cycle during industrialisation over the most recent 160 years. Earth is currently cooler than the historically recorded and scientifically verified Medieval Warming Period 1,000 years ago<sup>25</sup> and far cooler than the Roman Warming Period 2,000 years ago and the earlier and warmer Egyptian and Minoan Warming Periods. The Northwest Passage over the Arctic was open in 1906 and in the 1940's when the Arctic was warmer than today. *There is currently no warming in the atmosphere, land surface or oceans. There is nothing unusual or unprecedented in climate variability during the last 160 years. There is no process change in temperatures, only natural variability.*<sup>26</sup>

Despite receiving empirical scientific evidence on temperature, ALP-Greens MPs and Greg Hunt publicly make unfounded claims contradicting science. Why?

## More myths exposed

### Myth 3: carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) as carbon pollution

Carbon dioxide is scientifically labeled a trace atmospheric gas because there's barely a trace of it in air, being less than 0.04% or four one hundredths of one percent. Every 2,500 molecules of air contain 1,933 molecules of nitrogen N<sub>2</sub>, 518 molecules of oxygen O<sub>2</sub>, 23 molecules of the inert natural gas argon Ar, roughly 25 molecules of water vapour H<sub>2</sub>O and only one molecule of CO<sub>2</sub>. Air in crew quarters of submarines trusted with carrying nuclear warheads can contain around 20 times the CO<sub>2</sub> level in earth's open air with no ill-effect on crew. CO<sub>2</sub> is essential to all life on earth and in the past higher CO<sub>2</sub> levels increased plant growth because CO<sub>2</sub> is an aerial fertilizer. Yet prominent Australian ALP-Greens politicians deliberately contradict facts and mislead by describing the invisible, non-toxic gas carbon dioxide as 'carbon pollution', a black solid. Science estimates that each year nature produces 32 times more CO<sub>2</sub> than does all human activity (UN IPCC concurs) with the range or variation alone in nature's production around four times the total human production; regardless of human production, nature alone determines CO<sub>2</sub> levels.

---

If the ALP-Greens say invisible CO<sub>2</sub> is 'carbon pollution', does that make water 'hydrogen pollution'

---

Therefore CO<sub>2</sub> cannot be pollution; it's a natural plant food essential to humanity and earth's living species. It's fundamental to all wealth creation through farming and fishing. Burning efficient high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels such as natural gas, coal and oil containing hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) and carbon (C) atoms in the air's oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) produces H<sub>2</sub>O (water) and CO<sub>2</sub>. Calling CO<sub>2</sub> 'carbon' is as ridiculous as calling H<sub>2</sub>O (water) 'hydrogen'.<sup>27</sup> If the ALP-Greens say invisible CO<sub>2</sub> is 'carbon pollution', does that make water 'hydrogen pollution'? Doesn't the ALP-Greens' claim that ordinary clean air contains 'carbon pollution' imply that earth's oceans are 'hydrogen pollution'?

### Myth 4: abnormal and catastrophic warming caused by human carbon dioxide

From the start of radiosonde measurements in 1958 atmospheric temperature fell for 18 years despite rapidly increasing human CO<sub>2</sub> output from growing western economies and during the last 20 years, despite record human CO<sub>2</sub> output due to China and India there is no warming. This contradicts the UN IPCC's core claim and verifies empirically

25 In its 1990 and 1995 reports the UN IPCC presented the Medieval Warming Period as warmer than today. It was removed in its 2001 report and replaced with the infamous hockey stick temperature fabrication.

26 Appendix 4 provides empirical scientific evidence from many sources – section 1 discusses temperature <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

27 Attributed to Bob Brock

that human CO<sub>2</sub> is not affecting temperature. Instead, to prove causation requires empirical evidence that temperatures are rising unusually due to rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels caused by human production of CO<sub>2</sub> and warming is catastrophic to humans or our precious natural environment. Empirical evidence contradicts all four aspects of the UN IPCC's core claim because: (1) temperatures are not rising and since 1860-1890 have fallen; (2) temperature changes precede and cause changes in CO<sub>2</sub> levels; (3) human CO<sub>2</sub> output has no effect on CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air; and (4) warming, if it occurred, would be highly beneficial as proven by human history and empirical data throughout the history of life on earth with warmer periods scientifically labeled as 'climate optimums'. All large animal species including humans and polar bears had their ancestry in a far warmer period 3-6 million years ago when life on earth flourished. Earth's current epoch is the Holocene – the period since our last ice age 10,000 years ago - and current years are among the Holocene's 3% coldest.<sup>28</sup> Warming would be highly beneficial to humanity and to our natural environment.

Humans cannot control earth's global thermostat although if we could we would choose to raise temperature. Humans do not control CO<sub>2</sub> levels in air, although if we could we would choose to increase levels.

---

Empirical scientific evidence on 'extreme' weather events show no process change – only natural variability

---

Many leading climate scientists confirm that empirical data on temperature, precipitation, droughts, floods, storms, heatwaves, and all global and national climate indicators reveal only natural cyclical variability since 1860. There is no evidence of process change due to human activity. There has been no process change in ocean alkalinity or species population with polar bears thriving and growing rapidly in numbers. There has been no process change in human disease or mortality and no "climate refugees" because of sea levels<sup>29</sup> despite the UN's fearful 2005 forecast that there would be 50 million by 2010. After allowing for inflation and population density there has been no process change in weather damage. All the emotional and highly publicised scares driving climate alarm remain unfounded. Climate exhibits natural inherent and cyclic variability.

Properly peer-reviewed scientific climate papers present empirical scientific evidence proving earth's temperature variability is driven by cyclical ocean-atmosphere oscillations causing alternating cooling and warming periods. The Southern Oscillation Index and El Nino are strongly influential and associated with natural variability in solar output, magnetic field polarity/strength and solar particle emissions. Other major factors affecting global climate and temperature variability include variability in atmospheric water vapour content and cloud cover; ocean temperature, surface temperature, salinity and currents; volcanic activity and many lesser factors.<sup>30</sup>

### **Myth 5: the 'target' for limiting future warming to 2°**

This was fabricated as a political goal with no scientific basis.<sup>31</sup>

### **Myth 6: the UN IPCC fabricated a supposed greenhouse effect**

In the American Meteorological Society's 1951 Compendium of Meteorology (pages 1015, 16) the UK Met Office's CEP Brooks used empirical evidence to dismiss the claim that CO<sub>2</sub> warms earth. Yet, where Svante Arrhenius failed scientifically a century ago

---

28 Canadian climatologist Tim Ball's Figure 12 <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/162.Global.temp.pdf>  
29 Appendix 4a, pages 1-4 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>  
30 Appendix 4, pages 29-31 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>  
31 Appendix 2, page 12 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>



to establish earth's open atmosphere as a greenhouse effect, NASA-GISS's advocate James Hansen succeeded politically by popularising a claimed "greenhouse" mechanism through his 1981 paper and his 1988 USA congressional testimony. American senator Tom Wirth admitted in 2007 that he rigged the now infamous televised sweaty hearing stage-managed without air-conditioning on a hot and humid mid-summer Washington DC day. The supposed greenhouse gas effect conjured by the UN IPCC and at the core of UN IPCC theory and claims is contradicted by everyday observations and empirical scientific evidence and by its own unfounded 'flat-earth' assumptions<sup>32</sup> and unscientific foundation. Like some prominent sceptics of human causation I initially assumed the claimed effect to be true. Yet after reviewing the evidence, everyday observations, laws of science and the history of the 'greenhouse effect' I realised my mistake. Prominent scientists such as physicist Fred Singer with the courage to openly admit their possible error are now openly reconsidering.<sup>33</sup> NASA satellite measurements confirm that CO<sub>2</sub> in the open atmosphere cools the atmosphere.<sup>34</sup>

### **Myth 7: the science that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes global warming is settled**

Written responses to my requests for empirical scientific evidence of human causation were received from agencies, members of parliament and academics yet, together with the UN IPCC, all failed to provide empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing global warming or climate variability. There is no evidence of human cause.

Empirical scientific evidence proves human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot affect global climate. Science is not determined by Greg Hunt's oft-stated "belief", nor by claims of consensus on opinions or beliefs or religious faith, nor by appeals to authority citing proclaimed experts, nor by implicit or explicit opinion, nor by inference of having evidence, nor by unsubstantiated claims, nor by scary projections based on unvalidated computerised numerical models proven erroneous, nor by labeling and name-calling, nor by diversions onto scientists' occupations and ad hominem smearing to discredit those who disagree, nor by lies, nor by use of glossy emotional pictures, cartoons or stories, nor by implied unvalidated claims, nor by corrupt data, omissions of data, and misrepresentations of data and events. These are not evidence of human causation of climate variability. These are commonly and revealingly used by people lacking empirical proof of causation. These tactics are used by a small group of media-savvy, politically-driven academics and agency staff funded by the previous ALP-Greens government.

## **Australian agencies – CSIRO, BOM, Chief Scientist**

*A key point of my letter to you dated August 24th is that BOM has never provided empirical scientific evidence of human causation of climate variability. In response you said: "... the Bureau advises me it previously provided references to publicly available information to you in a response to the Freedom of Information (FOI) request you mention in your email." Please allow me to clarify by restating that from 2005 through 2013, being the period covered by my FOI request, the Bureau never*

32 Appendix 19, Item 9 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

33 Appendix 19 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and [http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2014/10/the\\_climate\\_sensitivity\\_controversy.html](http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2014/10/the_climate_sensitivity_controversy.html)

34 Appendix 19, Item 6, Page 5 and NASA reference cited therein <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

*provided any evidence of human causation of climate variability or global warming to me or to anyone in federal parliament including senior ministers with climate responsibilities. Instead, in the period from 2005 to 2013 BOM provided updates to members of parliament and one report to then Foreign Minister Alexander Downer and all relied on false UN IPCC claims.*<sup>35</sup> In his responses to my request for empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub>, BOM Director Dr. Rob Vertessy repeatedly failed to provide such evidence. BOM reports reveal there is no such evidence. The empirical scientific evidence confirms that BOM has never had any evidence nor provided any evidence of human causation. From your letter it seems reasonable to conclude that BOM has misled you Senator Birmingham. Based on BOM's history of many consistent and apparently deliberate or otherwise grossly negligent misrepresentations of climate, I urge you Mr. Baldwin and Senator Birmingham to read the BOM documents sent to me under FOI and to understand and act on these serious conclusions.

---

BOM 'adjustments'  
converted cooling  
trends into warming.

---

Despite lacking evidence, key BOM climate reports and executives' statements imply or state that BOM has such evidence. UN IPCC Lead Author and Review Editor David Karoly is editor-in-chief of BOM's in house journal *The Australian Meteorological and Oceanographic Journal* previously *Australian Meteorological Magazine*. BOM's explanations to scientist Dr. Jennifer Marohasy of its manipulations reversing measured cooling trends into warming trends are self-contradictory, inconsistent and contradict its own practices<sup>36</sup>. Internationally regarded British journalist Christopher Booker declares that an 80-year cooling in Australia has been converted into a warming trend of 2.3 degrees. BOM climate and weather data reveal no unnatural process changes in climate variability yet BOM contradicts empirical scientific evidence to publicly announce or imply human causation and selectively omits information to 'make' its claim. BOM has presented annual data on many criteria including rainfall, droughts, temperature and number of hot days per year that omit years known to show hotter, wetter, drier periods. That deliberately misleads people including MPs and BOM further willfully misrepresents climate by failing to acknowledge a lack of atmospheric warming in recent decades and instead misrepresents brief periods of natural cyclic warming and cooling and misrepresents normal weather events and climate variability as climate change caused by human CO<sub>2</sub>.

***BOM has been falsely warning of impending catastrophe and that has shaped policies and legislation that are needlessly costing all Aussies and me much money. Please note section 75(v) of our national constitution on the behavior of "an officer of the Commonwealth" and the responsibility of BOM public servants to be honest and not exceed their lawful and legal duty in ways adversely affecting Australians.***<sup>37</sup>

Senator Birmingham, are you aware that knowledge of BOM's manipulation of data has become evident only recently, long after the 2011 review to which your letter refers? Regarding your letter's first page, BOM's explanations have been shown by Dr. Marohasy to be in conflict with BOM practices and Dr. Marohasy and others have shown that BOM's explanations cannot be trusted. In her letter<sup>38</sup> of 18th January 2015 to Mr. Baldwin she reveals serious concerns with ongoing BOM practices since 2011. It's pleasing that you, Mr. Baldwin, want to move quickly to appoint the review committee. Given the involvement of people such as David Karoly consistently misrepresenting climate across many climate bodies, it's obvious that appointments to the review committee need to be made carefully to ensure independence, particularly in light of Professor Tim Ball's

---

35 Responses are provided here: <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/letters.html>

36 Appendix 7 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

37 [http://www.aph.gov.au/About\\_Parliament/Senate/Powers\\_practice\\_n\\_procedures/Constitution](http://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Powers_practice_n_procedures/Constitution)

38 <http://jennifermarohasy.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/Let-Bob-Baldwin-Re-New-Panel-18-January-1015-F.pdf>

expose of WMO interference to deliberately skew meteorological and climate data. BOM's behavior raises many questions, including these: Why is BOM endorsing the failed UN IPCC and spreading the UN climate body's blatant contradictions of empirical scientific evidence? Why has BOM not done its due diligence? What have been the roles of BOM staff deeply involved in producing UN IPCC reports? Despite BOM claiming CO<sub>2</sub> is central to regional and global climate effects, why does BOM not measure CO<sub>2</sub> at metropolitan or regional weather stations?

BOM staff have colluded closely with CSIRO on direct and implied unscientific and unfounded claims of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing global warming. Responses from CSIRO to my requests made under Freedom of Information (FOI) provisions confirm CSIRO's Chief Executive has given no empirical scientific evidence of human causation to federal ministers or MPs. As part of my investigation I separately requested CSIRO's then Chief Executive Dr. Megan Clark and CSIRO's Group Executive—Environment Dr. Andrew Johnson to provide empirical scientific evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> changes global climate. All their responses repeatedly failed to provide such evidence.<sup>39</sup> Dr. Johnson repeatedly falsely implied such evidence existed yet failed to provide it. Dr. Clark has publicly made or implied many false statements about human causation. Independent evaluation of CSIRO climate reports reveal neither the reports nor references (where provided) contain empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> as causation yet imply there is such evidence. Quantitative analysis of ten succinct, glossy pages in CSIRO's booklet entitled *'The Science of Tackling Climate Change' reveals 23 statements falsely blaming human CO<sub>2</sub>, 42 contradictions of empirical scientific evidence, 23 claims based on unvalidated computerised numerical models already proven wrong, 10 false statements, 8 unfounded statements, 25 meaningless statements meant to convey science and zero empirical scientific evidence for human CO<sub>2</sub> causing global warming. Significantly, its page discussing sea levels makes 12 statements contradicting empirical scientific evidence. Contrary to claims by Dr. Johnson that the list of references he separately provided for that booklet contains empirical scientific evidence, none of the references contain such evidence. In each of the four fundamental criteria for assessing human causation listed in section 3, Myth 4, above, CSIRO and BOM reports contradict empirical scientific evidence. Yet some ministers including ALP-Greens ministers and Greg Hunt have stated that climate policy is based on BOM and CSIRO advice. Why?*

---

CSIRO and BOM have no empirical evidence of human causation. They contradict empirical evidence.

---

CSIRO has a vested interest in promoting unfounded, unscientific, false claims that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused warming: it received funding from ALP-Greens MPs pushing the UN's CO<sub>2</sub> tax and 'trading' scheme. CSIRO's then Chief Executive advocated trading of CO<sub>2</sub> credits yet Dr. Megan Clark was a director of two major international banks – Rothschilds Australia and Bank of America Merrill Lynch – pursuing trillions of dollars in trading CO<sub>2</sub> credits and associated derivatives. After years of implicitly berating industries that contribute to producing CO<sub>2</sub>, she retired from CSIRO and became a director of Rio Tinto, one of the world's largest coal exporters. In writing papers purporting to be scientific, David Karoly's co-authors have included many CSIRO staff including those deeply involved in writing UN IPCC reports. Authors of CSIRO reports are closely associated with international agencies pushing global governance and taxpayers have funded CSIRO scientists at international conferences advocating for global governance.

---

CSIRO scientists at international conferences push for global governance.

---

The office of Australia's Chief Scientist<sup>40</sup> has no empirical scientific evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming. Yet both Chief Scientists – Dr. Penny Sackett and Prof. Ian Chubb - appointed by the ALP-Greens since 2008 have repeatedly contradicted

---

39 Appendices 6, 6a, 7 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

40 Appendix 8 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

empirical science and repeatedly implied false claims of human causation. The Chief Scientist relies instead on unscientific appeals to authority and claims of consensus. The office is funded by taxpayers as was the ALP-Greens Department of Climate Change and Energy Efficiency<sup>41</sup> that similarly had no evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming yet the Department repeatedly contradicted empirical scientific evidence and falsely implied or stated claims of human causation. Under ALP Minister Greg Combet it grossly misrepresented carbon dioxide in taxpayer-funded advertisements and continued willfully doing so after being advised of its misrepresentations.

After my federal MP Jane Prentice's staff arranged a meeting for me with Malcolm Turnbull, his staff advised that he withdrew. From my meeting with engineer Gordon Alderson and Greg Hunt in the latter's office on 27th April 2011 and from subsequent correspondence I know that Greg Hunt has no empirical scientific evidence for his continuing claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes global climate variability.<sup>42</sup> He requested and was given extensive paper and electronic documentation of the empirical scientific data and of the misrepresentation of climate science. He has never presented empirical scientific evidence for his self-admitted "belief" and instead claims to rely on the UN IPCC, CSIRO and BOM yet none of these organisations has evidence of human causation. Perhaps the underlying cause of Greg Hunt's public contradiction of empirical scientific evidence and misrepresentations of climate and science is his reliance on advice from the ALP-Greens Department formerly headed by Greg Combet and now Greg Hunt's Environment Department.

## Academics prominent in advocating climate alarm

---

Prominent academics fail to provide empirical evidence of human causation and contradict empirical evidence.

---

Nine Australian academics prominently advocate publicly that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming and that CO<sub>2</sub> output needs to be cut. They are: David Karoly, Will Steffen, Tim Flannery, Ove Hoegh-Guldberg, Lesley Hughes, Ross Garnaut, Matthew England, Kurt Lambeck and Andy Pitman. Appendix 9<sup>43</sup> of my *CSIROh!* report details their troubling behaviour, claims and associations. I have requested each to provide empirical scientific evidence proving their position. Most responded yet all failed to provide such evidence. Some of their public statements contradict empirical scientific evidence and sometimes contradict their own words. Most have reinforced the mythical consensus of climate scientists supporting their position, unusual ongoing warming and climate catastrophe. Many of this small group of activists and advocates have expressed or implied their views as the official position of various climate, weather and/or research agencies. They have falsely implied empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing dangerous global warming and in doing so have misled Australians, our media, the ABC and members of parliament. Many share appointments to common groups such as the defunct ALP-Greens' Climate Commission and work together across many organisations misrepresenting climate science and advocating policies raising energy prices. This group's appointments across many agencies misrepresenting climate variability points to their significant impact in fabricating unfounded and unscientific climate alarm.

---

41 Appendix 8 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

42 [A letter to Greg Hunt](#) here

43 Appendix 9 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

All were funded directly and indirectly by the ALP-Greens government pushing its CO<sub>2</sub> tax and 'trading' scheme with some such as Tim Flannery reportedly becoming wealthy from fabricating unfounded climate alarm despite my Gold Coast discussion with him on Tuesday, 12th October 2010 confirming his lack of empirical scientific evidence for his fomenting of alarm. These academics' behaviour is not scientific and they have not demonstrated independence and expertise in climate forecasting where they have yet to demonstrate any capability. Some have influenced destructive and/or wasteful policies such as their unfounded and false predictions of endless drought that apparently influenced Queensland cabinet ministers to make decisions that later exacerbated the effect of the cyclical 2011 floods and hurt tens of thousands of Queenslanders. Many have working associations with CSIRO and BOM climate departments and the UN IPCC. Will Steffen's statements show that he misled the Multi Party Climate Change Committee that recommended the Greens-Gillard CO<sub>2</sub> tax in 2012. On another occasion he publicly repeated a claim after previously admitting at another venue that it is false. He produced the Climate Commission's reports containing many false and misleading statements and contradicting empirical scientific evidence. He recently falsely claimed 2014 as the hottest year yet both sets of satellite atmospheric temperature measurements and radiosonde measurements show 2014 was far from the warmest. Many of these academics have prominent roles in the UN IPCC including Lead Author Ove Hoegh-Guldberg who has been receiving payments from extreme activists Greenpeace and WWF for more than 20 years. Others are closely associated with both extremist organisations contradicting empirical scientific evidence and their public work reveals characteristics of political advocacy. Most of these academics are involved with organisations pushing and/or enabling global governance.

Many of these academics came together in the ALP-Greens Climate Commission<sup>44</sup> funded by the ALP-Greens' Department of Climate Change. The Climate Commission's reports contain no empirical scientific evidence for the Commission's core claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming yet triggered alarming headlines. Real scientists have exposed the Climate Commission as unscientific and contradicting empirical scientific evidence. Due to its brazen falsities journalists were able to easily identify inconsistencies and the Climate Commission became a sad joke. Many of the academics are associated with The Australian Academy of Science in Canberra that has no evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing global atmospheric warming. Yet it contradicts empirical science and implies unfounded claims of human causation of global atmospheric warming. Its main academic contributors on climate are closely associated with CSIRO and BOM and its glossy core climate booklet entitled 'The Science of Climate Change: Questions and Answers'<sup>45</sup> was written largely by academics advocating climate alarm and funded by the ALP-Greens government while the booklet itself was funded by the ALP-Greens' Department of Climate Change pushing its CO<sub>2</sub> tax. The Academy's booklet misrepresents climate and science and falsely implies evidence of human causation, as does it update.<sup>46</sup> David Karoly is a member of the Working Group that produced the Academy's booklet, a member of the Climate Commission's Science Advisory Panel and an advisor to WWF.

44 Appendix 10 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

45 Appendix 8 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

46 <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/climate-of-cherry-picking/story-e6frg6zo-1227221814797?login=1>

## Other agencies – including America’s NASA-GISS, NOAA

A small group within NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) headed by activist James Hansen has made media releases and claims under NASA’s banner despite criticism and repudiation from many current and retired NASA scientists and engineers. James Hansen has reportedly manipulated and fabricated temperature data to advocate cutting human CO<sub>2</sub>. His claims made under the NASA banner and NASA’s website have no empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing climate variability. His claims and that of his replacement Gavin Schmidt contradict empirical scientific evidence. Reports identify James Hansen for fabrication of data where none existed, for removing cooler weather stations from the global temperature database and for converting cooling trends to warming trends – a technique shared with America’s National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) – by making adjustments of 35%, for example, to fabricate warming.<sup>47</sup> Author and attorney Christopher Horner reveals that James Hansen has received over a million dollars from external parties for his activism while employed by NASA and has failed to report tens of thousands of dollars received from external sources.<sup>48</sup> Work by James Hansen and Gavin Schmidt shows that large, prominent government agencies can be hijacked to contradict empirical scientific evidence and shape public perceptions globally.

Current NASA-GISS Director, Gavin Schmidt used unscientifically corrupted ground-based temperature measurements to falsely imply 2014 as earth’s warmest recorded year yet accurate measurements by NASA satellites prove temperature in 2014 had no significance. He continues to fail to publicly cite NASA satellite data while using NASA’s space program as a halo of scientific credibility for his false and misleading claims.

Heads of America’s EPA have been fomenting unfounded climate alarm to unconstitutionally regulate efficient and life-saving high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels producing harmless CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (water) in the EPA’s attempt to implement the UN’s 1992 Rio Declaration Agenda 21 for the twenty first century.<sup>49</sup>

---

47 Appendices 7 and 8 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

48 <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2011/11/18/dr-james-hansens-growing-financial-scandal-now-over-a-million-dollars-of-outside-income/>

49 Appendices 7 and 8, <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/162.Global.temp.pdf> and <http://www.breitbart.com/london/2015/02/07/breathtaking-adjustments-to-arctic-temperature-record-is-there-any-global-warming-we-can-trust/> and <http://www.breitbart.com/london/2015/01/30/forget-climategate-this-global-warming-scandal-is-much-bigger/> and <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/11367272/Climategate-the-sequel-How-we-are-STILL-being-tricked-with-flawed-data-on-global-warming.html> and <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/earth/environment/globalwarming/11395516/The-fiddling-with-temperature-data-is-the-biggest-science-scandal-ever.html>

## Implementing the UN's political campaign

Ros Kelly, Environment Minister in the Keating ALP government, introduced UN Agenda 21 to Australia in federal parliament on May 26th, 1993<sup>50</sup> describing it as a “massive document” and a “blueprint or set of guidelines” which will control individuals and countries around the world. In the Foreword to Australia’s report to the UN Commission on Sustainable Development - 1995 on “Implementation of Agenda 21” then Prime Minister Paul Keating<sup>51</sup> said the report is a scorecard of our activities relevant to (UN) Agenda 21 and emphasised Australia’s diligence in complying with the UN’s Agenda 21 implementation requirement.

CSIRO scientists’ use of taxpayer funding to support global governance deepened my interest in exploring UN connections. Canadian Maurice Strong is the father of unfounded climate alarm and conjured global warming when he was the UN Environmental Program’s first Secretary-General in 1972 and reinforced it by forming the UN IPCC in 1988. He then initiated, designed and introduced the 1992 UN Rio Declaration Agenda for 21st century global governance, UN Agenda 21.<sup>52</sup> *He has repeatedly publicly stated that his two main aims are creation of unelected global socialist governance and de-industrialisation and collapse of western democracies by ending national sovereignty.* On learning this I initially felt incredulous yet after researching many texts by credible authors from diverse and independent backgrounds and after studying UN documents and behaviours it’s verified. Paul Keating’s ALP government was one of the first to sign the declaration in December 1992 yet the UN campaign to usurp Australian sovereignty has never been debated much less passed by any Australian federal or state parliament or referendum. It’s in the Greens party platform and documented in 2004 as part of the ALP platform<sup>53</sup> yet not discussed publicly. On 9th October 2012 Greens senator Larissa Waters’ staff confirmed to Graham Williamson quote: “Larissa had a quick through of your question and wanted to let you know that the concepts in Agenda 21 are imbued through all of the party’s policy platform, whether explicitly outlined or not.”<sup>54</sup>

On April 13th, 2013 The Liberal National Party (LNP) branch in current Deputy Prime Minister Warren Truss’ Wide Bay electorate passed a motion opposing UN Agenda 21 and on July 21st, 2013 the Queensland state LNP passed the motion overwhelmingly, quote: *“That, the LNP opposes laws and/or regulations being made by Local, State, and Federal governments that enact the policy objectives of United Nations Agenda 21.”* Supporting explanation included, quote: *“Agenda 21 was accepted and subsequently promoted by most Western governments of all political persuasions. While understandably consistent with the policies of socialist (particularly Fabian) controlled governments, it is logically incomprehensible that any politically conservative government could support and promote a well documented scheme that sets objectives to achieve abolition of property rights, redistribution of wealth from western democracies, and implementation of global governance. That has been and continues occurring in Australia without legislation.*

---

Paul Keating’s ALP Government signed UN Rio declaration Agenda 21 in December 1992.

---

- 50 Page 951 [http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/chamber/hansardr/1993-05-26/toc\\_pdf/H%201993-05-26.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22Agenda+21%20%20%201990s%22](http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/chamber/hansardr/1993-05-26/toc_pdf/H%201993-05-26.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22Agenda+21%20%20%201990s%22) Ros Kelly said: “It is a blueprint or set of guidelines, not just for individual countries but, importantly, for the entire United Nations system as well as for individuals and organisations of every size and type. Australia contributed significantly to its preparation and negotiations.”
- 51 <http://www.environment.gov.au/archive/commitments/uncsd/publications/csd1995/foreword.html>
- 52 Appendix 14 Pages 60-72 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia\\_democracy.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy.php)
- 53 From Australian parliament, Page 243 [http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/library/partypol/1024534/upload\\_binary/1024534.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22library/partypol/1024534%22](http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/library/partypol/1024534/upload_binary/1024534.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22library/partypol/1024534%22)
- 54 Graham Williamson <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/PoliticalResponsestoAG21V2.pdf>

---

Queensland LNP  
formally opposes  
Agenda 21.

---

*The Liberal –National Coalition has never formally advised the public of the grave potential hazards of Agenda 21 (commonly called Sustainable Development). Rather, it has given particular support for implementation of ‘Local Agenda 21’ (LA21) by municipal councils through their progressive introduction of regulations guided by the ‘A21 sustainable development manifesto’ – revolution by regulation.”* Prime Minister Tony Abbott has accurately defined the UN IPCC scheme as ‘*Socialism masquerading as Environmentalism*’ and he did stand up when it counted to defeat Malcolm Turnbull’s alliance with Kevin Rudd on the ALP-Greens socialist CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions ‘Trading’ Scheme. South Australian independent state Member of Parliament Anne Bressington has spoken out against UN Agenda 21’s stealthy implementation. Until recently, The Institute of Public Affairs (IPA) seems reluctant to publicly discuss global governance and UN Agenda 21 although two recent articles<sup>55</sup> discuss global governance threats to Australian democracy and values.

Prominent Liberals have supported UN Agenda 21<sup>56</sup> including Senator Ian Macdonald who heads the LNP senate ticket in Queensland and former federal Liberal Environment Minister Robert Hill who reported “by 2003 ... there were approximately 61 councils in Australia with Local Agenda 21 programs in place.”<sup>57</sup> Like the UN’s climate alarm campaign, the facts are the opposite of its claims and attractively worded labels and acronyms. For example, UN Agenda 21 initiatives rely on subsidies and are not sustainable. They are instead aimed at stealing private property rights in the name of pseudo-environmental issues putting bugs, plants and animals on a higher priority than humans in claimed protection of ‘biodiversity’. These are joined, entrenched and enforced by ‘sustainability’ legislation designed to eventually control every aspect of people’s lives while professing to make our cities ‘resilient’ by thwarting the engine of resilience: human creativity and initiative.

The International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives is a UN body headquartered in Bonn, Germany with offices in Melbourne directing local government implementation of UN Agenda 21 across our country. It reports progress back to the UN Council for Sustainable Development ‘head office’. The UN bureaucrats pushing global control accelerate UN Agenda 21 using its third leg - climate alarm - designed to take control of this issue beyond national borders, thereby implementing unelected global governance.

The UN already controls much of our coastal zones directly through UN World Heritage Areas or indirectly through parks and reserves legislated by state or federal governments implementing UN controls. Councils are being forced by state legislation on coastal development, for example, to implement UN Agenda 21 often unwittingly stealing private property rights. Appendix 14 of my report entitled *CSIROh!*<sup>58</sup> provides an overview with links to Henry Lamb’s excellent videos and book showing the coordinated approach by UN and linked agencies including WWF, America’s EPA and the privately controlled World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF). Professor Antony Sutton’s works present material from archives proving involvement by major international bankers who own America’s Federal Reserve Bank.<sup>59</sup> They seek to gain trillions of dollars in almost risk-free profits via schemes such as the ALP-Greens CO<sub>2</sub> tax and ‘trading’ scheme supported by former Goldman Sachs banker and now Liberal MP Malcolm Turnbull.

---

55 Hannah Pandal ‘The Death Throes of Democracy’ IPA Review November 2014 and Simon Breheny ‘The International Law Delusion’ IPA Review, December 2012 <http://www.ipa.org.au>

56 <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/Agenda21Facts.pdf> and <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/AustralianGovernmentSupportsAgenda21.pdf>

57 Foreword by Liberal Senator Robert Hill <http://www.parksleisure.com.au/documents/item/1189>

58 Appendix 14 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

59 The Hoover Institute’s Prof. Antony Sutton’s books including *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*, *Wall Street and FDR*



---

American states  
are banning the  
UN's Agenda 21.

---

Texas' Post-Sustainability Institute opposing UN Agenda 21 says: “(The Agenda 21) the plan calls for governments to take control of all land use and not leave any of the decision making in the hands of private property owners ... *(Agenda 21) is a whole life plan ... It involves the educational system, the energy market, the transportation system, the governmental system, the health care system, food production, and more. The plan is to restrict your choices, limit your funds, narrow your freedoms, and take away your voice*” following UN instructions through the public service pushed by federal funding. *Fortunately, American state Alabama has banned UN Agenda 21 from being implemented.* Other states including Texas, Missouri, Tennessee, Arizona, Maine, New Hampshire, Kansas and Oklahoma are active in the process of legislating similar bans. Local city and county governments across America are awakening to UN Agenda 21 and banning it. Alabama's citizens drove the ban through their House of Representatives, Senate and Governor while facing the loss of \$150 million dollars in annual subsidies from the federal government that pushes UN Agenda 21 implementation despite UN Agenda 21 never passing America's congress. The people of Alabama recently revised their constitution to prevent any implementation of foreign “law” to enable enforcement provisions against those implementing UN Agenda 21 and to maintain the authority of American and Alabama constitutional provisions.

Christiana Figueres, UN FCCC Executive Secretary, oversees the UN IPCC operation aiming to convince nations that the ‘threat of global warming’ can only be solved using unelected global governance. On February 5th 2015 she confirmed publicly<sup>60</sup> to sympathetic audiences that the UN IPCC's agenda is global governance by gradually changing the world's economic model (to socialism) and confirmed her belief that communism is needed.<sup>61</sup> The UN FCCC's latest draft Paris climate ‘agreement’ uses 1750 as the base year for CO<sub>2</sub>: by doing so is it suggesting that 24 million people now living on the Australian continent should return to the Stone Age civilisation that existed across Australia in 1750?<sup>62</sup> UN Agenda 21 is an extension of, and worse than, the failing European Union's socialist model. My *CSIROh!* report's Appendix 12<sup>63</sup> documents the deceit and lies by ALP-Greens politicians Kevin Rudd, Julia Gillard, Penny Wong, Greg Combet, Christine Milne and others pushing this agenda. When Kevin Rudd used climate to steal a lead in polling before the 2007 election he was quickly joined by then Prime Minister John Howard who did not expose Kevin Rudd's misrepresentations and in acts of pure political expediency based on poor advice became the first Australian politician to include a CO<sub>2</sub> ‘trading’ scheme in party policy despite later admitting he was agnostic on climate, implicitly because of a lack of evidence. Appendix 12<sup>64</sup> shows how Liberal Prime Minister John Howard implemented UN Agenda 21 by stealing farmers' private property rights without compensation through complicity with ALP state premier Peter Beattie and NSW state environment Minister Bob Carr. (Removing private property rights is a core aim of UN Agenda 21.) John Howard's government

---

60 [https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=W8TtafXtiwc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=W8TtafXtiwc)  
45seconds: I am very convinced that society as a whole, global society, is moving to the point where we are going to need more and more global governance muscle.....  
2min 47: Climate change is only the first of the major, major planetary challenges that we are being given, almost as a playground.....to go into that playground and exercise our global governance capacity  
<http://www.unric.org/en/latest-un-buzz/29623-figueres-first-time-the-world-economy-is-transformed-intentionally> and  
<http://www.truthrevolt.org/news/un-climate-chief-were-intentionally-transforming-world-economy> and discussing global governance and climate change laying the template for global governance

61 <http://dailycaller.com/2014/01/15/un-climate-chief-communism-is-best-to-fight-global-warming/>

62 UN FCCC draft Paris agreement <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2015/02/18/the-un-climate-end-game/>

63 Appendix 12 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

64 Appendix 12, pages 42-44 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

introduced the Renewable Energy Target that artificially raises energy costs destroying Australian prosperity, industry, exports and jobs.

Liberal Environment Minister Robert Hill under John Howard was involved in reporting to the United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development (UN CSD) overseeing implementation of UN Agenda 21. His successor as Environment Minister Greg Hunt has been publicly identified as personally active in implementing UN Agenda 21 programs and promoting UN Agenda 21 yet when held accountable denies detailed knowledge of this globally most powerful and influential campaign camouflaged as an ‘environmental’ program. The public though has never been advised of the expenditure of hundreds of millions of dollars implementing UN global governance and subverting our national sovereignty and governance. In early 2015 Greg Hunt reported to UNESCO World Heritage Committee that issues orders to Australia based on its threats and unfounded alarms, contrary to science.<sup>65</sup> Australian public servants and MPs have signed over 7,000 UN treaties and amendments,<sup>66</sup> including some which automatically agree to future amendments made by the UN, influenced as it is by a large number of small nations including the likes of Mali, Nigeria, Yemen, ... that show little regard for people or our natural environment. Meanwhile North Korea, Iran and Libya were appointed to the UN Human Rights Council and economic basket case Zimbabwe was the former boss of the UN Commission on Sustainable Development overseeing Australia’s UN Agenda 21 compliance.<sup>67</sup> UN bureaucrats buy the votes of such nations by promising to pay them billions of dollars in ‘reparations’ for climate variability falsely claimed to be caused by developed nations. Graham Williamson cites Vreeland “Critics of the UN point to its endemic corruption, its domination by totalitarian governments, and its lack of positive accomplishments. ...The UN was constituted, right from its inception, to subordinate the interests of any one nation to the will of the majority of nations.”<sup>68</sup> Greg Hunt is repeatedly ignoring the scientific evidence and the documented extensive misrepresentations while endorsing a dishonest body pushing a stealthy agenda not discussed with the Australian people. Why?

Key UN tactics over four decades of using climate variability to conjure a problem are similar to tactics used successfully over decades leading to America’s 1913 legislation establishing the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. It monopolises control of America’s money supply and through control of the US Dollar as default global currency, manipulates the global economy. Shared tactics include creating perceived academic credibility while spreading false information and fomenting unfounded fear through a very small core of co-opted academics, government agency staff, public servants, journalists and government media. On climate issues prominent ALP-Greens MPs using preference deals and vote-buying formed a cosy coalition and embraced and funded non-government organisations such as Greenpeace and WWF in fabricating imaginary catastrophes fomenting public fear generating political pressure and action. This was done while smearing sceptics to stifle dissent and aligning vested interests by ensuring easy supplies of cash directly and indirectly through tax deductibility, subsidies and grants. A small group of prominent ALP-Greens MPs falsified and misrepresented science to falsely label CO<sub>2</sub> as ‘carbon pollution’ while a small group of Liberal

---

65 <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/greg-hunt-on-offensive-with-great-barrier-reef-ban/story-fn59niix-1227195205933>

66 [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/SignatoriesTo\\_IGAE\\_AcknowledgeConstitutionIsOutdated.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/SignatoriesTo_IGAE_AcknowledgeConstitutionIsOutdated.pdf) and Appendix 14 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

67 provided by Case Smit

68 <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/RightQuestionClimateChange.pdf>

MPs assisted, often unwittingly it seems, with UN Agenda 21 and others played pure political expediency because of fear of media backlash against sceptics. Leaders focus people and model behaviours that drive people's behaviours and since Kevin Rudd's ascendancy as ALP leader in 2007 have caused extensive waste and damage. Vested interests such as alternative energy providers, complicit industry groups, academics, ... and many others received financial, political and/or career support. *UN bureaucrats and complicit Australian MPs and public servants manipulated tangible and subtle intangible systems to drive people's behaviour and thereby change attitudes toward CO<sub>2</sub>*. They misrepresented natural climate variability and preyed on public ignorance of science using taxpayer funding. They hijacked people's care for the environment and demonised nature's trace atmospheric gas essential for life on earth as a solid black pollutant. Taxpayers' funds are being used against taxpayers to destroy our industry, jobs, economy, governance and sovereignty by giving money to people advocating cutting human CO<sub>2</sub> and endorsing scientific and/or illegal corruption despite their stance hurting humanity and our precious natural environment. Waste and misappropriation of taxpayer funds totals billions of dollars. My *CSIROh!* report's Appendix 20<sup>69</sup> contains detailed analysis of behaviours.

The respected Australian business leader Maurice Newman, Chairman of the Australian Prime Minister's Business Advisory Council says of the UN: "The climate change movement is rooted in power, money and emotion — not science. It is indecently obsessive and authoritarian".<sup>70</sup> It's objective is unelected control. It's clear that the UN IPCC and a small group of academics, agency employees and politicians are not simply making false and unscientific claims based on unvalidated computerised numerical models, they're deliberately and repeatedly contradicting empirical scientific evidence to misrepresent climate and science for political purposes and to control energy, finances and people – and getting richer on taxpayer funding for doing so. My experience complements the reality that at its core climate alarm is not a scientific issue, it is fundamentally political and behavioural. The solution is to reduce government's control and influence because free elections with limited corruption in Australia can produce governments such as the Rudd-Gillard-Greens government that created huge debt and subverted science and our constitution in barely two years with lasting effects entrenched by Liberal-National coalitions lacking the underlying understanding or the will to do due diligence.

UN Agenda 21 is a greater threat to Australia than is terrorism and is the greatest threat to Australia's sovereignty since federation. It is being implemented by a small group of media-savvy and politically savvy people funded by government and encouraged by vested interests and largely unconscious journalists. Implementation is made easier by decades of centralising power and funds in Canberra, a clear contradiction of our constitution's intent to ensure competitive federalism through sovereign states.<sup>71</sup>

Sydney researcher Graham Williamson provides a four-page summary on UN Agenda 21 in Australia.<sup>72</sup>

---

UN Agenda 21 is the greatest threat to Australia's sovereignty since Federation in 1901.

---

69 Appendix 20 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

70 <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/alarmist-heat-as-poor-die-of-cold/story-e6frg6zo-1227209613566>

71 [http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401\\_RichardCourt.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401_RichardCourt.pdf) and Appendix 14 here <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/SignatoriesTo\\_IGAE\\_AcknowledgeConstitutionIsOutdated.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/SignatoriesTo_IGAE_AcknowledgeConstitutionIsOutdated.pdf)

72 [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/Agenda21inAustralia\\_2.Summary.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/Agenda21inAustralia_2.Summary.pdf) with more of Graham's work at: [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia\\_democracy.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy.php)

## Costs to Australia and Australians

---

Needlessly high energy and food costs hurt the poor and vulnerable and are destroying our economy and employment opportunities

---

Australia is blessed with abundant agricultural, seafood, timber, energy, metals and water resources and with people having creativity, resourcefulness and initiative yet our country is being choked. The costs to Australians imposed by people contradicting empirical scientific evidence to fabricate climate alarm and implement UN Agenda 21 are widespread, enormous and ongoing. Direct costs include higher energy charges due to John Howard's Renewable Energy Target, wasting billions of dollars on wind and solar power subsidies plus inefficiencies in electricity generation and distribution as well as regulatory and other restrictions. Scientist Walter Starck says: "Electricity prices in Australia are higher than in countries buying coal from us to generate their power, even though they must ship it thousands of miles to their power stations while ours are located right next to the mines." These are highly regressive burdens since energy is fundamental to modern living and increases in energy prices most hurt the poor, vulnerable and elderly. Despite this, ALP-Greens MPs want to reimpose a CO<sub>2</sub> tax via an open-ended upward-ratcheting blank cheque to dramatically raise energy costs threatening the employed and hurting the poor.

---

Efficient high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels are environmental saviours not destroyers.

---

History and modern electricity generation prove efficient high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels such as natural gas, coal and oil are environmental saviours not destroyers. My recent work in India confirmed for me that coal is one of the world's most important protectors of humanity. It is essential for meeting Indian Prime Minister Modi's goal of national electrification that will raise hundreds of millions of people from poverty through affordable energy, without affecting climate. Prosperity leads to decreased population growth and is vital for protecting our natural environment because impoverished people have no resources to apply to caring for their surroundings.

Cheap, reliable, environmentally-responsible, plentiful electricity from efficient, high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels have lifted billions of people from poverty and freed people in developed nations from natural variation in weather extremes to provide food security, ease and personal safety. Hydrocarbon fuels raise productivity, the key to increasing prosperity that is essential for protecting our precious natural environment. These benefits are undermined by political responses to unfounded climate alarm that raise food and living costs and threaten food availability and security. Despite having the world's largest per-capita fishing area, Australia's tiny population imports almost three quarters (70%) of the seafood we consume with our largest and second largest foreign sources now China and Thailand respectively. All our fish canneries have been closed as a result of UN Agenda 21 rules, regulations and restrictions yet fish are a plentiful renewable resource in our seafood-rich waters. Former ALP-Greens Environment Minister, Tony Burke and his predecessor locked Australian fish out of harvest by putting them under direct or indirect UN control with Australia now having more than one third (36%) of the world's marine parks. *Food and fuel security cannot be achieved while serving UN Agenda 21 designed to place us under control of unelected foreign bureaucrats.* The UN preaches "sustainability" and "resilience" yet prevents sustainability and resilience and creates dependency.

Aluminium smelters established decades ago to tap Australia's abundant, reliable low-cost electricity, including one adjacent your electorate Mr. Baldwin, have been shut with more targeted for closure. Manufacturing is plummeting and Australia will soon stop building cars. As Australians needlessly lose their jobs and industry continues transferring jobs to developing nations lacking Australia's modern pollution scrubbers it increases global production of real pollution such as nitrous oxides, sulphur oxides and

---

Cutting Australian  
CO<sub>2</sub> hurts our global  
environment and  
humanity

---

particulates. When attention, money and resources are focused on the impossible task of cutting the level of nature's trace atmospheric gas CO<sub>2</sub> and on the absurdity of controlling global climate, that attention, money and resources are taken from real environmental and humanitarian issues such as air pollution, water pollution, soil pollution, toxic chemicals, abuse of pesticides/fungicides and other chemicals, demineralisation and erosion of soils, malnutrition, freshwater supply quality and quantity, sanitation, HIV-Aids, tobacco, hypertension, mental disorders, illicit drugs, ...

Australian farmers have lost their private property rights without compensation under John Howard's government that espoused Liberal party philosophy protecting property rights. Coastal residents lost private property rights under deliberate initiatives, claims and legislation by the ensuing ALP-Greens government and state ALP governments based on CSIRO's unvalidated computerised numerical models contradicting empirical scientific evidence on sea level. Farmers and property owners in regional towns and metropolitan suburbs now face threats to private property under UN Agenda 21 rules, regulations and restrictions. Generations of children have had their minds and hearts polluted with unfounded fear and guilt through school curricula pushing unfounded climate alarm using propaganda disguised as documentaries and science. Extreme activists spreading fear are terrorists and have become economic terrorists.

Yet there is nothing about human activity to fear or feel guilty on global climate. The genuine environmental movement is one of Earth's most important yet is tarnished by ideologically driven extremists such as WWF, Greenpeace and the ALP-Greens falsely fabricating climate claims to camouflage political issues and socialism as environmental. Canadian environmentalist Lawrence Solomon says: "*But (the UN's) Kyoto (CO<sub>2</sub> 'trading' protocol) is not an insurance policy. Just the opposite, it is the single greatest threat today to the global environment, because it makes carbon into currency. Carbon is the element upon which all living things are built. With carbon a kind of currency—which is what all carbon taxes and carbon trading and similar schemes do—all ecosystems suddenly have a commercial value that makes them subject to manipulation for gain.*" This destroys wealth to make a few richer by manipulating nature and humans.

---

The UN is  
destroying  
Australia's food and  
energy security.

---

Unfounded climate alarm is based on controlling money and energy to control people and our way of life. Remembering that advocates of unfounded climate alarm share the key trait of taxpayer funding raises an important topic, especially with ongoing calls by the ALP-Greens alliance advocating hitting people with an open-ended, upward-ratcheting CO<sub>2</sub> 'trading' scheme: a tax. *Investigations revealed tax to be the core climate issue – and a huge opportunity for restoring and protecting our country.*

## Australian Tax

*In 1996 and 2010, Jim Killaly, Australian Taxation Office Deputy Commissioner for international matters and large companies said that 90% of large Australian companies are foreign-owned and since 1953 have paid little or no income tax.<sup>73</sup> Foreign-owned companies use infrastructure paid for by Australian taxpayers and undercut Australian companies paying 30% income tax.* On January 19th 2015 federal Treasurer Joe Hockey reportedly told Fairfax radio that Australians paid nearly half their income in tax, quoting him as saying: “When Australians spend the first six months of the year working for the government with tax rates nearly 50 cents in the dollar it is a disincentive,” he said. “You’re working July, August, September, October, November, December just for the government and then you start working for yourself and your own household income after that for another six months - it is a disincentive<sup>74</sup>.”

---

Every week,  
Australians work  
Monday to mid-  
morning Thursday  
paying for  
government.

---

*Taxes though are only part of the story because Australian Bureau of Statistics data from the late 1990s/early 2000s revealed that an Australian earning the average weekly income paid 68% of income in taxes, rates, fees, levies, charges, special levies, ... to government. Each week we work from Monday to mid-morning Thursday paying government and what’s left is for food, housing, transport, education, water, sewerage, holidays, ... with a little remaining for retirement. The biggest purchase of people’s lives is not their home, it’s government. Taxes are almost half the price of a house, making the tax rate effectively approaching 100%.<sup>75</sup> Taxes account for half the price of a loaf of bread – making the tax rate effectively 100%. Depending on prices and location, taxes account for around 70% of the price of petrol, making the tax rate 230%.* That hits someone waking in the morning, making a sandwich for lunch and driving to work before starting work. A person on average income who does one overtime shift a week has a marginal income tax rate of 37% and then pays other taxes and rates on top including GST on most purchases – including fresh food because GST is paid on transport and other parts of the fresh-food chain.

It’s well known that tax decreases what is taxed – so, why do we tax employment with crippling payroll taxes and a host of other taxes? Yet when profit is so easily fiddled why do we tax profit? Think about the loan people take for a home – if half the cost of the home is tax, homebuyers pay for a loan to pay tax and that becomes crippling with initial years of interest repayments paying tiny amounts off the loan principal. Australia’s complex tax system makes it easy for political parties to make vote-buying promises that pile on debt and avoid accountability. Our tax system is highly complex and inefficient with some taxes costing more to collect than the revenue they raise and responsible for Australia having the world’s highest manufacturing costs.

---

The core issues  
are tax and our  
constitution.

---

*Not content with that destruction, the ALP-Greens want to impose a CO<sub>2</sub> tax to transfer billions of dollars to the UN for its revenue while admitting they want UN control of our people, property, resources and sovereignty.*

---

73 Appendix 14, pages 93-104 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

74 <http://bit.ly/1zfKyxY>

75 <http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/news/nsw/nearly-half-the-cost-of-a-new-house-is-gobbled-up-by-a-range-of-hidden-taxes-and-can-add-up-to-250k-per-property/story-fni0cx12-1226828067955>

## Positive Solutions for the federal government and for Australia

Instead of adding an ALP-Greens CO<sub>2</sub> tax to our heavy, messy, destructive tax system Derek Smith and John McRobert have developed a highly efficient tax system that has been economically modeled and proven.<sup>76</sup> It is a Two Cent Tax that taxes every sale of goods and services at 2% and *removes all taxes* except alcohol and tobacco excise and petrol excise. The GST and income tax would end. The 2 Cent Tax captures foreign-sourced goods and makes Australian companies competitive. After January's Queensland election, sale of profitable income-earning public assets are off all government agenda yet *the 2-Cent spending tax would pay off all state and federal government loans within three years by ensuring foreign companies and speculators currently avoiding tax pay their share. It would restore accountability to government and force management of budgets and restore power to the people. Taxing speculators would divert funds to wealth-creating investment, economic growth would rise sharply and produce more jobs with prosperity for all and the spending power of money would increase by 40% enabling people, governments and businesses to buy 40% more goods and services with their money. The 2-Cent tax succeeds because it's fair, highly efficient, practical, productive and taxes foreign-owned companies and speculators currently avoiding tax.* Australia would be more economically stable and attractive to local and foreign investment. If you are interested in quickly ending the ALP-Greens debt burden, balancing federal and state budgets and restoring Australian competitiveness, prosperity, livelihoods and security I would be pleased to introduce you to Derek Smith.

Our greatest resources are human creativity, initiative and resourcefulness magnified exponentially by connecting, sharing and building on each others' ideas enabling the whole to be greater than the sum of the parts. Human initiative determines all other resources and combines them to build amazing ideas and prosperity – it creates wealth out of an idea. It requires people to be free from UN global control.

Democracy requires openly making *informed* decisions between genuine alternatives. Having foreign agenda covertly buried in the bureaucracy and pushed by both parties is not being informed. Instead, it is a bi-partisan agreement preventing voters from making any decision, much less an informed decision. It's not democracy. Instead, this is the ultimate delusion because having two parties gives the appearance of democracy yet is a dictatorship by foreign agencies. A fundamental human right is access to genuine information yet in Australia both parties breach and prevent this on climate and on implementation of UN Agenda 21. Misleading people with leadership antics and red-herrings is not a democracy. With the ALP-Greens desiring to control Australians on behalf of an unelected foreign body, why can't the Liberals present Australians with the opposite – Australian control – and thereby give voters choice?

*Interpretation of our national constitution needs to be restored to align with its intent – competitive federalism - with limited federal authority and with states responsible for all but four areas. Secondly, instead of governments continuing to borrow funds, we need to return to the proven Aussie system of a government-run publicly-owned central bank responsible for issuing money and public banking.* These are proven concepts used by American President Abraham Lincoln that launched America in the late nineteenth century and responsible for developing Australia and much of our current water, rail and other infrastructure at a time when Australia had barely five million people

---

76 <http://like2percenttax.com.au/home/>

and lacked modern technology. In America today only 1% of currency is printed as notes or coined and the figure is presumably similarly low in Australia. Our currency (money) is created largely by entries made in computer ledgers in bank accounts. There are two entities that can create currency: governments and banks. Governments can create it as credit and build the nation without inflation. Private banks though can only create loans and with it inflation. In Australia's first 2-3 decades government issued money and from 1911 issued money through the publicly owned Commonwealth Bank founded by the Fisher Labor government and carefully managed by Denison Miller until his unexpected death in 1923. Government creation of currency creates no debt, provides money for infrastructure and eliminates federal income tax. Its disadvantage for banks is that banks make less money from it and they lose control of economies and lose power over government. After Denison Miller's unexpected death in 1923 successive Labor and Liberal governments killed the people's Commonwealth Bank so that today banks loan money to governments creating debt owed by the people and requiring us to pay heavy taxes to pay interest on loans. Similarly in America, Abraham Lincoln's government-issued Greenbacks were replaced in 1913 by bank loans from the privately owned USA Federal Reserve Bank. Free government money was replaced by debt owed by the taxpayers to private banks. America is now crippled with debt beyond America's ability to repay. Australian MPs and American members of Congress gave a monopoly on currency creation to private banks who create debt demanding federal income tax that is otherwise totally unnecessary. The Great Depression resulted directly from the USA Fed's policies. Imagine what we could do now as Australians to build prosperity and secure our future infrastructure while cutting poverty, improving education, ensuring equality of opportunity for both genders, improving health, ... ending hidden inflation and hidden unemployment currently destroying wealth, and ending federal income tax.<sup>77</sup> This can be done with proven methods that eliminate the ALP-Greens federal and state debt and restore economic stability.

---

Australia thrived  
with public banking  
and no Federal  
Income Tax.

---

As Liberal MPs your party's philosophy aligns with my experience and research showing that human progress depends on many factors with seven of the most prominent being:

1. freedom of thought, association and expression enabling creativity, connection and initiative with free exchange of ideas, goods and services;
2. rule of law to protect life, property and freedom while providing order and safety;
3. governance releasing initiative, connection and care to be productive and secure;
4. Secure property rights so initiative and effort are rewarded;
5. Honest money building on government issuing of currency as shown by Australia's original government-run Commonwealth Bank from 1911 to 1923:<sup>78</sup>
6. Fair and efficient taxes enabling very low tax rates associated with government control of currency eliminating the need for federal income tax as demonstrated by early Australia and by America until 1913;
7. Families have been the foundation for every stage of human history from tribes to cities and are fundamental for human support, nurture and welfare. All seven factors including families are being destroyed by UN rules, regulations and restrictions and coastal residents and farmers now have to protect themselves from government stealing private property rights.

---

77 Appendix 14, pages 32-34 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

Ellen Hodgson Brown's book *The Web of Debt* Section VI, page 387-457 and especially Chapter 44, pages 421-430

78 [Appendix 14, pages 32-34](#)



## Summary

*The key and fundamental question is: where is the empirical scientific evidence for the claim that global warming or climate change was caused by human CO<sub>2</sub>? My original email of August 24, 2014 to Senator Birmingham said: “A small group of BOM staff including senior executives has willfully misrepresented climate. At no time has BOM had any empirical scientific evidence that human carbon dioxide caused global warming. Further, the BOM wilfully misrepresents climate by failing to acknowledge a lack of warming and instead misrepresents brief periods of natural cyclic warming and cooling.”*

---

There is no scientific empirical evidence or causal logic that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes global warming or climate variability.

---

---

Taxing or limiting human CO<sub>2</sub> can have no effect on global or regional climate variability or the environment.

---

In absence of a satisfactory reply from Senator Birmingham to this core issue, this letter provides detailed comprehensive empirical scientific evidence proving there is no such evidence or causal logic that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes global warming or climate change. This letter cites empirical scientific evidence and laws of science proving that human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot cause global warming. It provides factual documentation of extensive, pervasive and systematic scientific and seemingly unlawful or at least dishonest corruption of climate science by BOM and by the UN IPCC that BOM endorses. That corruption extends beyond BOM staff to include a small closely knit group sharing key traits. These traits include firstly, the key point that those advocating cutting and/or taxing human CO<sub>2</sub> have no empirical scientific evidence or logical causal sequence for their claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes climate variability. Secondly they contradict empirical scientific evidence including the fact that human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot cause global warming or climate change thereby proving that cutting or artificially limiting human CO<sub>2</sub> can have no effect on the air’s CO<sub>2</sub> levels nor on global or regional climate variability or the environment. Thirdly, instead of providing evidence they stifle discussion by promoting the myth of a scientific “consensus” and disparaging those with scientific evidence. Fourthly, this small group of media-savvy, politically-driven people in agencies, academia and vested interests foment unfounded fear and alarm to drive political processes. Fifth, this group was funded within Australia largely by the Rudd-Gillard-Milne ALP-Greens government misappropriating taxpayer funds and misrepresenting science. Sixth, prominent advocates of unfounded alarm have connections to groups pushing unelected global governance destroying Australia national and states’ sovereignty and prosperity with damaging consequences worldwide to humanity and especially the poor and to our precious natural environment. Seventh, *it’s not one or two errors or omissions in unfounded climate alarm, it’s documented and proven to be a deliberate campaign whose roots are political and has continued ever since as anti-science, anti-human and political.*

The claim that the climate on a planet with 4.5 billion years of history can be understood by presenting 60 years of corrupted data on a natural trace gas, CO<sub>2</sub> essential for all life on Earth is absurd. There is no unnatural or abnormal or ongoing or catastrophic warming nor is there any process change in natural climate variability. Empirical scientific evidence confirms the existence of only natural alternating warming and cooling cycles amid ongoing ever-present entirely natural climate variability. The claim of human causation contradicts empirical scientific evidence. The claimed 97% consensus is really a 0.3% smattering of authors all lacking empirical scientific evidence for their claim of humans causing catastrophe and all contradict empirical scientific evidence. The small tightly knit group misrepresenting climate began with Maurice Strong, father of global warming (aka climate change) and initiator of UN Rio Declaration Agenda 21 and extends to include UN IPCC leaders and ideologically driven eco-extremists in WWF and Greenpeace writing UN IPCC reports and a sprinkling of Liberal MPs particularly Greg Hunt and Malcolm Turnbull contradicting empirical scientific evidence with

others complicit by their silence and/or political expediency. The greatest moral crisis of our time is not climate; it is fabrication of unfounded climate alarm by the UN and other vested interests. Many Liberal MPs though are simply failing to do due diligence and instead following the perceived crowd. Former Treasury Secretary and Nationals senator John Stone summarised climate alarm by saying that the public is being, quote: *“consistently and comprehensively lied to”*.

In their responses to receiving my empirical scientific evidence and documentation of corruption of climate science ALP-Greens MPs, agencies and academics fail to specify any error in the empirical scientific evidence provided, fail to specify any error in the fundamental logic needed to establish causation and fail to specify any error in my documentation of corruption of climate science. Please feel welcome to specify any error in the data and facts I’ve presented and in the fundamental logic necessary to establish causation of climate variability. To counter my facts BOM, CSIRO and academics merely need to present empirical scientific evidence in a logical sequence proving that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused warming. *Until they do there is no basis for Direct Action, CO<sub>2</sub> taxes, CO<sub>2</sub> ‘trading’, Renewable Energy Targets or any of the many bureaucrats, commissions and bodies choking Australia with UN regulations, rules and restrictions. These have brought Australia to the edge of an economic and governance cliff with unfounded climate alarm ultimately being about who runs Australia: the people or unelected UN bureaucrats.*

## Conclusions

I think that you’ll come of your own volition to the same conclusion as mine, that Liberal MPs have been misled by a small group of people some of whom have acted fraudulently and many unwittingly. Claims of consensus, vote on opinions or belief or religious faith, appeals to authority citing proclaimed experts, implicit or explicit opinion, inference of having evidence, unsubstantiated claims, scary projections based on unvalidated computerised numerical models proven erroneous, labeling and name-calling, diversions onto scientists’ occupations and ad hominem smearing to discredit those who disagree, lies, use of emotional pictures, cartoons or stories, implied unvalidated claims, corrupt data, omissions of data, and misrepresentations of data and events are not evidence of human causation of climate variability.

*The key issues are, in order of importance: tax, constitutional sovereignty and governance, and control of money. Unfounded climate alarm is about tax to misappropriate wealth from Australians to the UN and it is about surrendering the sovereignty and governance of our people and resources to the UN.* A second fundamental question emerges: should the UN and any unelected foreign bodies continue to control Australian energy, resources, industry and employment; and should they decide unilaterally what is sustainable in our country; and should they grant, modify, or revoke human rights, OR, should these matters and the human rights of all Australians and the values and laws of our country be enshrined in, & protected by, the Australian constitution?<sup>79</sup>

---

79 <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/RightQuestionClimateChange.pdf>

*Unfounded climate alarm is about who runs Australia: the Australian people in accordance with Australian laws and values or UN bureaucrats co-opting our agencies and misleading us as evidenced, for example, Senator Birmingham by your letter's last paragraph relying on BOM?*

Unfounded climate alarm is a political issue with huge direct and indirect costs affecting every Australian and with ramifications for the poor in developing countries. It is a humanitarian, environmental and moral challenge that strikes at our nation's heart and at every Australian's core. Government has become a tool for those wanting – consciously or unconsciously – to control. Government's role is not regulation to control; it's governance – maintaining the constitution so people freely use ideas to create prosperity for all. This requires slashing regulations and curbing government power so 24 million hearts and minds can freely make independent decisions automatically tallied in truly open exchanges and markets.

Australia has enormous potential yet we are floundering and wasting our greatest resource – the creativity, initiative and resilience of Australia's people. By restoring sovereignty and governance we can regain the global leadership we held early last century and return to having the world's highest standard of living with the highest per capita income. Australia must unleash efficient high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels to protect life and provide productivity and prosperity needed to protect our precious natural environment and people's livelihoods. Proven solutions exist for Liberal MPs to restore and protect Australia.

## Political cost to the Liberal party – and opportunity

Our country could not withstand another ALP-Greens government, as it would take us over the cliff. Burdened with the ALP-Greens UN Agenda 21 rules, regulations and restrictions, rising energy costs, layers of needless stifling bureaucracy and inefficient tax it's no surprise Australia is lagging in productivity that is fundamental to wealth creation and prosperity that are in turn essential to environmental and humanitarian care. Advocates for cutting human CO<sub>2</sub> output are causing us to lose our country and President Obama's dishonest interference in our country's politics while visiting as our guest for November's G20 Conference amounted to promoting regime change. Yet my well-informed friend John Smeed, a Liberal Party branch Vice-President advises, quote: "Direct from the Liberal Party of Australia 1996 platform: We will make the processes of committing Australia to the requirements of international treaties and United Nations Conventions more directly subject to scrutiny by the Commonwealth Parliament, the States and the community before those treaties and conventions are entered into on Australia's behalf. The Abbott Government should not commit Australia to compliance with UN FCCC resolutions to be put to the conference in Paris in December 2015 without firstly having informed the Australian public of the social and political implications of full implementation of the 1992 Rio Declaration (Agenda 21) and gained its support via plebiscite. Tony Abbott has himself well defined this UN IPCC scheme as '*Socialism masquerading as Environmentalism*'"<sup>80</sup>

---

80 John Smeed's letter, Jan 18, 2015

Subsequent to 1996 the Liberal party has been split by climate change because a majority of its federal MPs are rightly sceptical of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing warming while two prominent MPs – Malcolm Turnbull and Greg Hunt – and their followers proclaim, contrary to empirical scientific evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> production should be cut. Backbenchers rightly express voter dissatisfaction with Liberal Party actions based on leaders’ ignorance or political timidity yet some backbenchers and cabinet Ministers defer meekly to being on both sides of the climate ‘debate’. As a result of this and Kevin Rudd’s lead in the 2007 polls John Howard played pure political expediency that was later absent briefly in 2009 when Tony Abbott reportedly realised the public mood had changed and became party leader only to be brought back into line apparently by Malcolm Turnbull’s followers and Greg Hunt. Their endorsement of human causation without evidence is complicity and their actions and claims repeatedly contradict empirical scientific evidence and endorse corrupted ‘science’. Despite being given much solid material and despite having no supporting empirical scientific evidence both MPs continue their public misrepresentations causing one to wonder why they do not care about humanity and our precious natural environment. They make the political game a toss-up between the Liberal-National Coalition and the ALP-Greens coalition based on personalities – a sideshow distracting from the real issue: UN control.

The UN IPCC’s stance and UN Agenda 21 are anti-science, anti-environment and anti-human. They are masking unelected socialist global governance and are destroying creativity and initiative. History has repeatedly shown this to be a fundamental disadvantage of socialism yet Australia is continuing down this path laid by ALP-Greens support for UN Agenda 21 and by Liberals blind to events in socialist Europe and in Australia. The ALP though is now in a position of dependency on Greens preferences and cannot deliver what people want. Despite this opportunity, Liberal MPs’ silence – and conscious or unconscious ignorance – are consent.

The Liberal party has been thrashed recently in Victorian and Queensland elections after each being in office for only one term and NSW Liberals are similarly threatened with federal Liberals being jointly blamed. Many conventional politicians are using this to abandon core values and soft-pedal on policy yet the reality seems to be that our electorate rightly sees this betrayal as weakness and lacks confidence in MPs when voters are seeking strong leaders with conviction who can be trusted. The federal Liberal Party though continues to keep a foot in both camps on climate and perpetuate confusion fomenting party disunity and low confidence and disapproval among voters.

---

Meet challenges directly with strength, integrity and authenticity.

---

Senior federal parliamentary Liberals and other significant Liberals have knowingly reassured me that Tony Abbott remains strongly of his opinion that human CO<sub>2</sub> does not cause climate variability. Rather than hiding or running away from issues, my experience is that challenges are successfully dealt with by meeting them directly with strength, integrity and authenticity. As Opposition Leader Mr. Abbott used his skills in boldly setting the agenda and in 2013 people turned to the Liberal Party. Due partly to the party’s division on climate though the government is now floundering. If Mr. Abbott comes clean and is true to himself he will improve vastly in confidence, carriage and delivery that voters will discern. He needs for his sake, your party’s sake and our nation’s sake to return to honesty on climate and to assert his authority over Malcolm Turnbull and Greg Hunt to ensure the primacy and rule of science, commonsense and truth within his party and across our nation. His partial success with Canadian Prime Minister Stephen Harper in limiting damage to Australia by UN policies is acknowledged although that has made him a target for President Obama working vigorously for UN control of energy and resources.

Circumstances though have changed with recent extensive documented evidence of ALP-Greens corruption of climate science bringing an opportunity for the Prime Minister to destroy dishonest ALP-Greens policies. Voters are now increasingly aware of the empirical scientific evidence and documentation of extensive misrepresentation of climate. Instead of continuing to abdicate to foreign bureaucrats by tying Australia to other nations' actions, Liberal MPs can convert misrepresentations pushed by America's President and by journalists to advantage by demonstrating real leadership of Australia. Mr. Abbott became party leader through backbench support and a relentless, disciplined focus on core issues. After his recent promise to listen, both the party and its federal parliamentary leader need to come clean as part of adopting honest policies and focus voters on restoring Australian sovereignty and "good government". By firmly addressing Greg Hunt's and Malcolm Turnbull's fundamental climate misrepresentations the Liberal party can unite and make it possible for an honest leader with conviction to lead strongly. By his own choice and by his party's conviction a strong, focused Liberal Party federal parliamentary leader can end emasculated leadership and end the current Liberal party leadership circus.

Although ALP-Greens stifle internal dissent and debate, Liberals still allow dissent although that can be stifled eventually by claims of 'party unity'. Given ALP-Greens undermining our constitutional governance and sovereignty, will Liberals ensure the sustainability of genuinely democratic institutions and democratic traditions, and justness in democratic decisions? Can Australians – particularly those currently members of the Liberal Party – look to your party for freedom from outside control of their country? Will a Liberal government fulfill fundamental responsibilities of government being the protection of life, property and freedom? *How will Australia generate sufficient wealth to sustain our country and pay the enormous ALP-Greens debt? The Liberal coalition government has the opportunity to fulfill voters' core needs: provide personal physical safety and security of property; manage budgets for economic and industry security for future prosperity and family livelihoods; restore Australian values and laws and ensure sustainability of our democratic institutions and traditions; and, provide clear, confident, competent, trusted leadership based on facts. Will you do so and will you take the opportunity to focus on one clear issue: restoring and protecting Australia?*

## Immediate opportunities and action

Senator Birmingham, given the complexity of unfounded climate alarm and corruption of climate science I trust this necessarily comprehensive response to your letter complies with your website's guidelines for correspondence, adequately explains the situation and meets your needs for accuracy and understanding.

I empathise with your party's political challenge in facing misinformed and vocal extremists who use misrepresentations, emotion, propaganda, media and politics to overwhelm reason and facts. Appeasement though, relieves these activists from accountability. Instead, my three decades of management and executive leadership experience confirms it is much more effective to resolve challenges directly with strength, integrity and authenticity. Removing ALP-Greens 'climate' shackles on sovereignty provides a huge opportunity for the Liberal Party, for prosperity of all Australians and an opportunity to redirect resources to real humanitarian and environmental challenges nationally and then globally. The start on Monday February 9th of Liberal "Good government" will succeed if it embraces the strength of character needed to reverse the misunderstandings that led to damaging policy being written and voted by duped Members of Parliament.

*Given this letter's empirically verified contents I'd be pleased to understand your response. I trust that after reading this letter's evidence you will demand from the Environment Department a scientific explanation justifying its position that human CO<sub>2</sub> causes global warming, including detailed logical causal relationships with each step supported by empirical scientific evidence.* Given CSIRO and BOM responses to my request made under Freedom of Information provisions, it would assist you to get to the heart of the matter by asking the Department to identify the specific empirical scientific evidence proving causation that it received from CSIRO and BOM and the specific location of such evidence in UN IPCC reports. To restore integrity to climate discussions you will need to know from the Department the names of academics whose specific advice shaped its policy and the direct and indirect funding of such academics by the Department and identification of their specific empirical scientific evidence and causal logic proving human CO<sub>2</sub> as cause.

The problem is not efficient high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels since their products - CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (water) - are not pollutants and are not causing natural climate variability. The problem is misrepresentations that fabricated naturally occurring cyclical variability to be something humans cause and therefore we can undo. I would like to assist you both and particularly Mr. Baldwin with his specific responsibilities for this issue, to reverse this destructive war on efficient energy, prosperity and sovereignty. *I request a meeting with you individually or together, to show you that there is no need to accept and follow a politically driven and incorrect assessment falsely condemning our livelihoods and our country. If desired I can bring or introduce internationally eminent climate scientists familiar with UN IPCC practices and introduce experts on UN Agenda 21. All are independent, ethical and competent and they include ecologists, environmentalists and climate scientists to discuss CO<sub>2</sub>, its effects and its vital role in nature to understand issues in depth.*

Secondly, I cannot imagine the Liberal party knowingly condoning inadvertent or deliberate and damaging corruption of science. *Adequate grounds exist for the Executive Council to initiate a Royal Commission into this matter, if the Government chooses to do so. For Liberal MPs it would save face and for all Australians it would restore scientific integrity vital to our economy, security and environmental care. Further,*

*Australia needs an independent recalculation of temperature data since 1860 using independent people well versed in statistical analysis and meteorology, neutral on taxing CO<sub>2</sub> and using consistent and transparent guidelines and their application. The Department of Environment needs to employ or include a strong sceptic of human causation to restore balance within the department because chasing nature's trace atmospheric gas essential for life on earth is deviating attention and resources from real and serious humanitarian and environmental challenges.* After a Royal Commission, school curricula could be purged of unfounded fear and guilt about human activity and CO<sub>2</sub> could be recognised for its essential role in plant photosynthesis, the source of all food on earth.

*Thirdly, sound and ethical governance requires repealing all legislation based on, and in any way connected with, unfounded climate alarm because it endorses corruption of science, damages our nation and hurts Australians.* At a time of budget and financial pressures Direct Action wastes billions of dollars and needs to be terminated. We need to restore cheap, reliable, abundant, environmentally responsible electricity. The Liberal party needs to unite behind empirical scientific evidence and end corruption in parliament. Rather than the politics of fear, guilt and division preached in Australia by the ALP-Greens we need a unified Australia in closer harmony with nature's abundance and using our human and material resources to free humanity from the poverty, oppression and control inflicted by anti-human and anti-environment UN policies. *We need to restore constitutional governance and sovereignty by recovering taxpayer funds from academics and vested interests and end contracts based on fabricated 'science'. Corresponding ALP-Greens-UN rules, regulations and restrictions and John Howard's politically expedient legislation on climate need to end to enable Australia's future progress.*

*To protect out national sovereignty and governance, Australia needs to withdraw from and ban implementation of the UN's unlawful Agenda 21 global governance campaign. We need to immediately exit the corrupt and wasteful UN and not sign any Paris UN FCCC document later this year.*

*CO<sub>2</sub> creates wealth. Unfounded climate alarm though is about transferring our money overseas through tax and it's about external unelected UN control of energy, resources, governance, finances and people's lives. The solution is to ensure everyone pays their share of tax – including foreign companies and speculators and I request that you have discussions with Mr. Derek Smith who I would be pleased to introduce.*

### **The core issue is freedom**

---

Who should direct Australia – the Australian people in accordance with our laws and values or UN bureaucrats?

---


All actions affect others because we are all connected. Greg Hunt pushing climate alarm contrary to the science destroys freedom yet this letter gives those MPs who care an opportunity to restore and protect freedom. Until governments started dismantling the publicly owned Commonwealth Bank after its governor Dennison Miller's unexpected death in 1923, Australia was free and growing vibrantly with economic stability, no federal income tax, a strong Commonwealth Bank as a people's central bank and with infrastructure being built. I hope that this letter's contents assist you to restore freedom and integrity so people across Australia unite to restore productivity, happiness and prosperity.

This letter presents an opportunity for federal Liberal MPs who value integrity and who care about Australia to hold accountable those undermining Australian sovereignty and hurting humanity by exposing the Rudd-Gillard-Milne ALP-Greens-UN misrepresentations while freeing the Liberal party from its largely unconscious

complicity. Voters will appreciate, respect and admire restoring integrity to governance. Addressing this issue honestly and strongly based on solid data and extensive documented evidence of misrepresentations by a small group of academics, ALP-Greens MPs, agencies and vested interests will reconnect your party with traditional Liberal constituencies and widen its appeal by addressing Aussies' needs for security, prosperity, stability, fairness, affordability, integrity and leadership and by protecting Australian law and values. For democracy's survival we each have a responsibility to research, investigate, provide alternatives, share and speak. We each have a responsibility to go beyond exposing issues by providing sustainable, proven alternatives. We need to dump the failing EU model, exit the unsustainable UN Agenda 21 and return to Australia's proven roots using efficient tax under constitutional federalism with sovereign states and government-run public banking.

I hope you share my passion for our precious natural environment, science, truth, our country and our common humanity shared with all people on our planet. This is an opportunity for us to demonstrate our integrity and our care. Imagine what we could do together for our indigenous, our genuinely disabled, our poor, our inventors, our creatives, our natural environment, our wealth producing farmers, fishers, miners and manufacturers and for our children and descendants. With Australian sovereignty and governance restored we can abound again for the benefit of humanity globally.

We will unlock our natural material resources by first unshackling Australia's most powerful resource, our people. Surely, we have an ethical duty to understand the facts, leading to a moral duty to share the facts, and a fiduciary duty to support the facts with honest words and actions. I offer my support for creating prosperity for developing nations and for Australians and would be very pleased to meet with you.



**Specialised  
Management  
and Leadership  
Services**