

**From:** Malcolm Roberts <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>  
**Subject:** Fwd: As promised, Ben  
**Date:** 4 April 2013 3:36:28 PM AEST  
**To:** Roberts1 Malcolm <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>  
▶ 1 Attachment, 507 KB

---

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Malcolm Roberts <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>  
**Date:** 16 February 2013 3:16:03 PM AEST  
**To:** Cubby Ben <bcubby@smh.com.au>  
**Cc:** XXXXXXX XXXXXX <XXXXXXXX@HOTMAIL.COM>, XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX <XXXXXXXXXX@OPTUSNET.COM.AU>, XXXXX XXXX <XXXXXXXXXXXX@YAHOO.XX.XX>  
**Subject:** As promised, Ben

Hi Ben.

After your repeated failure last year to provide empirical scientific evidence and logical scientific reasoning to support your unfounded claims about HUMAN CO<sub>2</sub> driving global warming (aka climate change) you asked me to provide information debunking AGW\*.

(\*AGW means Anthropogenic or human caused global warming)

Attached for you and in its associated appendices is the information promised late last year. It's my investigation into climate science and claims made by academics and agencies funded by government.

It's available on the internet together with associated appendices and a link to letters holding accountable advocates of unfounded climate alarm:

<http://www.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

My report discusses your claims and statements, Ben. My report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Yesterday you were sent a paper copy of Parts 1 and 2 by Registered Post with Delivery Confirmation.

I offer you the opportunity to identify, specify and justify any significant material errors you may perceive in my report. If you consider such errors exist please identify them specifically and provide empirical scientific evidence and/or facts. Please simultaneously declare your personal financial interests in advocating the claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> should be cut.

If I have not received a specific and scientifically or factually justifiable list together with your declaration by Friday, March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013 I will assume you do not disagree with my report.

Ben, unless you have empirical scientific evidence and logical scientific reasoning proving that damaging warming was/is/will be caused by human CO<sub>2</sub>, please cease making direct or implied public claims that human production of CO<sub>2</sub> needs to be cut. Please withdraw your past such claims and associated claims and articles. If you continue making such claims and fail to retract past claims you will be knowingly misleading the public and parliament. Please stop advocating policies harming humanity and/or the environment.

In the interests of accountability and transparency my paper letter and your response(s), if any, will be posted on the Internet after Monday. That delay will give you the courtesy to receive my letter and read its contents before seeing it on the internet. Wouldn't you agree that it's fair to say that was more than you and Mike Carlton gave me?

Thank you for your Tweet finally admitting that the Galileo Movement is not anti-semitic. For Richard and Patrick, please ask yourself why the SMH would need to falsely fabricate a smear that implies The Galileo Movement is anti-semitic. (Appendix 13, page 30 onwards)

[http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/13\\_appendix.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/13_appendix.pdf)

You'll find some advocates of unfounded climate alarm accuse those with whom they disagree of fabricating 'conspiracies'. I don't use that term because what's happening is in the open. There's no conspiracy. Appendix 14 details the bigger picture and motives. Pages 114-116 list some standard diversions used by some of those advocating unfounded and unscientific climate alarm.

Ben, in my view you now have a choice: Either you can continue denying and contradicting empirical scientific evidence and logical scientific reasoning proving conclusively that HUMAN CO<sub>2</sub> did not cause Earth's latest modest cyclic global

ATMOSPHERIC warming that ended in 1998 OR you can face up to reality and admit your past such claims are false and unfounded.

The first choice is initially easier for the ego. The second choice is ultimately easier overall though because, in my experience, reality always wins. The truth emerges. As reality is now emerging on BS AGW.

Although initially easier the first choice will bring you more pain and embarrassment as reality continues to emerge. If you choose to continue misrepresenting climate and pushing ideology it'll become progressively more difficult and embarrassing for you, Ben. More painful.

It seems that your choice boils down to pain now in admitting reality or deeper, ongoing pain in the months and years ahead by holding onto the ego's fears.

Key appendices for you (and for Patrick and Richard) are:

Appendices 4 and 4a on empirical scientific evidence and logical scientific reasoning:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/4\\_AppendixBasicQuestions.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/4_AppendixBasicQuestions.pdf)

[http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/4a\\_AppendixEmpiricalData.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/4a_AppendixEmpiricalData.pdf)

Can you find fault with my logical scientific reasoning's four fundamental steps?

Appendix 13 discussing distortions, misrepresentations and fabrications by ABC and SMH:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/13\\_appendix.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/13_appendix.pdf)

In that you'll find a URL to the transcript of the recording of our interview:

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/ROBERTS.Malcolm-InterviewWithBenCubby-30.July2012WorkingCopy2.pdf>

Appendix 14 provides details on motives pushing BS AGW:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/14\\_Appendix.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/14_Appendix.pdf)

Thank you for stimulating my documentation of motives driving BS AGW, Ben. Your actions were a major trigger to documenting the drivers of BS AGW. That the SMH's environmental reporter pushes an anti-environment and antihuman ideology is deeply disappointing. (Appendix 14)

Many appendices document extensive corruption of climate science—corruption that you ignore and perpetuate, Ben.

I respectfully suggest you watch the five part video series by Henry Lamb:

<http://shelf3d.com/Search/The%2BRise%2Bof%2BGlobal%2BGovernance%2BPlaylistIDPLKjJE86mQRtsd2abcjOkgq4uw-H5MLvMa>

They total just 100 minutes.

Then, maybe you'll understand Lenin's declaration that he relied on what he called '*useful idiots*' to spread his misrepresentations and antihuman ideology.

It's now your choice Ben: continue your fabrications or delve into reality. Continue being a useful idiot for the UN or start reporting climate accurately to Australians.

Continue your antihuman and anti-environment claims or start to care for the environment and humanity.

In my experience, truly **caring** for the environment is not about spouting an ideology or pretending to be green. Doesn't real **care** require making an effort to understanding **core** issues and then develop a **cure** based on evidence?

In my experience, Ben making the effort to understand the facts is essential. I've got my hands dirty cleaning up environmental legacies. Always it was based on first understanding the facts.

Try it Ben. You'll likely find it more rewarding.

Malcolm

At stake is human freedom, your freedom, *our* freedom



[CSIROh for web.pdf \(507 KB\)](#)

At stake is human freedom, your freedom, *our* freedom