

Date published: Monday, February 4th, 2012

Latest update:

APPENDIX 17

OUR TWO CORE CHALLENGES

This document is part of, and intended to be read in conjunction with, all parts of and appendices to the document entitled *CSIROh!*

“The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed (and hence clamorous to be led to safety) by menacing it with an endless series of hobgoblins, all of them imaginary.”

H.L. Mencken:

“They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety [and they will very quickly lose both].”

Benjamin Franklin

We have two core challenges providing enormous opportunity. Preceding appendices reveal that our most obvious challenge is to reduce stifling government control, corruption and waste from national government and from those pushing global control. The root cause of that wasteful control is the Human Condition. It becomes our second opportunity. This appendix discusses both.

Understanding the Human Condition provides a path for restoring freedom, connection and care to produce peace regardless of the outcome of tackling our first opportunity.

The awakening of people around the world to unfounded climate alarm reveals that humanity is ready to take our next step in humanity’s evolution. Humanity has shown that it deserves a return to freedom that existed before people started living in large cities and nations under control of lords, nobles, kings, dictators and a massive variety of rulers and government.

The manner in which we take the challenge will confirm our worthiness. We need to lead by displaying behaviour worthy of freedom. We need to look within to find our real strength and assist those who are trying to control to overcome their fear and their self-perceived weakness.

Current situation reveals our core challenge

Former Treasury Secretary and senator John Stone summarised climate alarm by saying that the public is being, quote: “*consistently and comprehensively lied to*”. That’s clear.

Respected former federal ALP cabinet minister Gary Johns confirms government by deception and deceit. Governance today from both major parties prefers spin over substance:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/opinion/vision-not-worth-paper-its-written-on/story-e6frgd0x-1226511021501>

Both parties seem afraid of speaking honestly and doing what’s really needed for the electorate’s benefit. Spin prevails over substance. Personal agenda are more important than the national interest. Billions are wasted on loans from bankers to buy headlines for policies that focus groups say may appeal to buy votes. This is not governance. It’s theft. It’s deceit. Veteran journalist Paul Kelly reveals more:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/commission-on-child-sex-abuse-a-depressing-example-of-populist-politics/story-e6frg74x-1226518423478>

Politicians can appear to be concerned about children, yet actions betray their lack of care.

ALP spending on market research sets new record:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/labor-spins-research-to-record-high/story-fn59niix-1226548577112>

Quote: “*The Gillard government spent a record \$35 million on market research last financial year as it tried to read - and bend - the public mood on issues such as the carbon tax, broadband, smoking and private health insurance.*”

Reportedly the Gillard-Milne government employs at least 160 media advisers as spin doctors. Reportedly the government’s Leader of the House Anthony Albanese won’t release the full numbers. Why won’t this representative of the people release figures on expenditure of the people’s money. The cost is reportedly at least \$150 million. It seems a believable figure.

When spin prevails over substance there exists no effective leadership addressing people’s real issues. Leadership slides into deceitful deception.

To counteract Tony Abbott’s relentless exposing of ALP spin Julia Gillard is relentlessly spinning stories about Tony Abbott’s supposed misogyny in the hope that some will lodge in voters’ minds. Is Julia Gillard employing deception? Tony Abbott is apparently happily married to his wife and is father to three daughters. He has two sisters. His Chief of Staff is a woman. Yet while defending Peter Slipper MP and despite Peter Slipper’s anti-female comments Julia Gillard fabricated a case claiming that Tony Abbott is a misogynist.

Journalist Janet Albrechtson reveals that such blatant concocted spin is backfiring on Julia Gillard and, sadly, on the feminism movement:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/pms-fake-feminism-is-man-made/story-e6frg7bo-1226559589863>

See below for further comment revealing that attempts to control eventually hurt the controller at least as much as the people being controlled, the public.

Perhaps in some ways the job Julia Gillard is attempting to do on Tony Abbott reminds of the job he did on Pauline Hanson. That reportedly was based on Tony Abbott's deviations from truth:

<http://www.smh.com.au/articles/2003/08/22/1061529330032.html>


In a concerted and coordinated strategic attack Tony Abbott is relentlessly smeared as negative. Increasingly politics has been about deception, not substance.

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/gillard-takes-the-negativity-cake/story-fn59niix-1226518433238>

Instead of politics being about the quality of debate and facts brought to parliament, increasingly it's determined by the marshalling of former journalists on politicians' staff to write articles for use by the media.

While politicians waste taxpayer money on spin they ignore or fabricate climate data and corruption. Voters are awakening and their faith in political leaders is falling. There is growing disillusionment with both major parties as people view prominent MP's as neither capable nor desiring to protect Australia's national interests:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/faith-in-political-leaders-collapses-according-to-newspoll-survey/story-fn59niix-1226518493021>



Appendix 12 discusses this in greater detail.

Disillusioned with both main parties Australians initially turned to independents. Rob Oakeshott and Tony Windsor though betrayed voters in their rural electorates. Later they voted for the Brown-Gillard Deceit Tax on CO2. Their enmeshing in supporting the unfounded global warming claim reveals both were quickly brought into the Lib-Lab fold. That leaves voters wondering where to turn.

Thomas Paine said, quote: "*It is the duty of the Patriot to protect his country from its government.*" The core of any democracy consists of the voters. It's up to us to reclaim our country, reclaim democracy and restore honest, competent governance.

Australia's situation is not unique. It's typical of modern western democracies. Consider appointment of governments in Italy and Greece by European Union bureaucrats. Consider this revelation of President Obama's deceit toward Americans: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eAaQNACwaLw>

Part 5 of Henry Lamb's authoritative succinct and comprehensive video series reveals President Clinton's deceit and betrayal of America: <http://shelf3d.com/hVR3BPM5dU4#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%205>

Despite contradicting empirical scientific evidence and despite comprehensive documentation of climate corruption by the UN IPCC and misrepresentations by government-funded *advisers* the government deceitfully persisted with the first step of its plan to remove cheap energy from Australians. It did so in accord with an unelected foreign push for global governance. It did so with complicity of senior opposition MPs including Malcolm Turnbull and Greg Hunt. (Appendices 12 and 14)

The issue is not CO₂ or climate. It's beyond that. It's beyond the antihuman approach used by those trying to steal nations' sovereignty. The core challenge is restoring Australia's national governance and then protecting it from international bankers.

How can we trust federal MP's when they're smashing the core of Australia's federation and undermining our constitution by usurping state sovereignty using UN treaties often not ratified by parliament and bypassing parliament? (Appendices 12 and 16)

Unscientific computerised numerical modelling of climate is a false and unscientific basis for controlling property rights and finances. How can we trust federal MP's on other policies and plans supposedly based on computerised numerical modelling and aiming to control water and land rights?

Control of money, currency and monetary policy presents a challenge. History reveals though that Australians can develop and successfully operate a far more productive system of issuing money that has enormous potential for tapping and sharing our nation's enormous potential. We can return our country to having the highest standard of living in the world and simultaneously enshrine Aussie values of mateship and a fair go (equal opportunity) for all.

As the size of our challenge emerges citizens see the true nature of our elected representatives selling out to narrow vested interests trying to grab control via global governance. People then feel shocked, angry and deeply concerned yet determined to restore integrity, openness, fairness, security and honest governance.

Governance is the issue. Pushers of global governance seeking to govern us have perpetrated unfounded climate alarm for almost four decades. They already had control of our currency and thereby to a large extent our economy. Through the IMF

and World Bank they've driven our tax system that favours their corporations. (Appendix 14)

National governments of both major parties have contributed to eroding Australia's wealth through pushing the destructive Lima Declaration and implementing over 7,000 UN treaties. They now control our country and severely limit our wealth. Over decades powerbrokers in both main parties smashed Australia's banking and currency to enable international bankers to take control.

On climate, our national governance has used taxpayer funds to fabricate its unfounded and corrupt core claim. Under governments of both major parties taxpayers have paid alarmist academics to falsely fabricate unfounded alarm. We've paid for them to be appointed to commissions, boards, institutes and departments: to misrepresent science to advocate government policy stealing more tax from taxpayers. We've paid our CSIRO and BOM to persistently misrepresent science. Both parties have proposed a CO₂ *trading* scheme. Both parties have colluded to steal people's private property rights. Both parties currently contradict empirical scientific evidence by advocating cuts to human CO₂ output.

Parliaments have failed us. Major political parties have failed us. Our governance system stifles outspoken people such as Bob Katter and Barnaby Joyce. It changes the behaviour and attitudes of many MPs who entered parliament to genuinely help the people of Australia. Yet party pre-selection systems, parliamentary systems and media systems have triggered MPs' fears and changed their behaviours. Appendix 14 explains that systems drive behaviour changes that produce changes in people's attitudes. Appendix 13 reveals the effect on Andrew Bolt, Ben Cubby, Mike Carlton, Wendy Carlisle and others. It's amazing what we humans can justify when our need is strong.

One of the world's foremost experts on corporate governance is Canadian Richard Leblanc. His research confirms my own experience. It reveals that regulations cannot force directors to do their due diligence and be accountable. A director's strength comes from within. As does a director's weakness.

Sadly under pressure many directors and leaders of organisations lack the ability to say "*I don't understand, could you please explain*", "*I disagree and am going to vote against the motion even if I'm the only dissenter*", "*I appreciate your candour*", "*I regret my mistake*", "*I'm going to share my doubts and criticisms and my honest views on your strength and limitations*", ...

In 1990 I organised a conference addressed by international business leaders. A prominent speaker was Robert Galvin, then Chairman and Chief Executive of Motorola. He advised that taking a minority position is difficult yet sometimes essential despite the loneliness. When a leader forsakes needs for acceptance/recognition/status in favour of meeting needs for integrity it reflects real care.

Respected by both sides of congress, former congressman Ron Paul from Texas writes in his book entitled *End the Fed* that very few members of congress understand economics and finance. Rather than revealing their ignorance on a bill before Congress though, members of congress often just vote for the bill.

The same applies to journalists. Our journalists have failed to protect us. Some have colluded to spread unfounded and unscientific climate alarm. The latter have often been within the government's ABC broadcaster funded by taxpayers.

Government funding binds it all

A chain binding all these groups is government funding. Government funding has become voracious. International bankers enjoy our growing debt. Politicians make promises with our money knowing that with an ignorant or complicit media they can easily spin away accountability using taxpayer funded advertising and media campaigns.

Imagine though, global governance by a group of bureaucrats working for international bankers. They'd be far further out of touch with reality than are Canberra and Washington politicians. As seen in Appendix 14, their motives are antihuman. The UN cannot be trusted. In his outstanding succinct and comprehensive five-part video series Henry Lamb reveals the reality of the UN's methods designed to extort money and hurt people:

<http://shelf3d.com/Search/The%2BRise%2Bof%2BGlobal%2BGovernance%2BPlayListIDPLKjJE86mQRtsd2abcjQkgq4uw-H5MLvMa>

Governments in modern western socialist democracies such as Australia and America (Appendix 14) are not protecting people from corporate wolves. They're abetting the corporate wolves. They're abetting those seeking to impose global control.

Government uses regulations as auctions for power. Every regulation enables it to curry power by allowing lobbyists to bid on behalf of their constituency. Without regulations politicians have less power and control. They cannot conduct their auctions.

Corporations wield enormous power. By closing markets through regulation government enables powerful corporations with the ability to buy favours and influence to bypass markets. Government regulations favour the powerful and the lazy and those not willing to face the people's verdict in free and open markets.

Government is not the people's protector. It's hurting people—directly and indirectly on behalf of global agencies. Due to the unavoidable Human Condition government today is an agent of and for the swindler, the greedy, the fearful, the ego-driven and the lazy.

Further harm of excess government includes its reinforcement of the unfounded belief that people are weak and defenceless thereby needing protection from a central authority. In this way government is a self-fulfilling, self-perpetuating force weakening people and society.

Government is the core problem. That creates the core challenge and opportunity: to restore freedom.

The core challenge is government control enabled by ease of government committing taxpayer funds to self-serving interests and personal agenda.

The root cause: the human condition

Let's discuss the basis of personal agenda. It's the ego that we fabricate during life. It reinforces itself incessantly through inner talk that reinforces its perceptions of itself: it's weaknesses, it's vulnerabilities, its fears, its shame, its ...

Those who understand this can use it to control others by speaking to their ego's fears and vulnerabilities. Going a step further though, those who understand the destructiveness of the ego's hidden fears and vulnerabilities understand that the ego is destructive toward one's self.

The ego can be a nasty ally and a terrible sub-conscious master.

Marshall Rosenberg is a psychologist and founder of the *Center for NonViolent Communication*. He understands that our inner talk and our outer talk are based on our universal human needs. Beneath every behaviour is a need.

When we try to manipulate others we weaken ourselves because by preying on what we perceive as the other person's weakness we are reinforcing that perception of humans within our self. Those who try to control others are revealing their own vulnerability, weakness and inability to survive without controlling the environment.

Thus, control weakens the controller and the controlled. In the case of widespread control, it weakens humanity. Control is not natural. The Universe is free. When we seek to control we are going against the whole Universe. That's tougher than winning an argument with my wife.

Deceit is an attempt to control. Secrecy is an attempt to control. Deceit weakens those who deceive. Secrecy means that the secretive, the liar, the deceiver lacks the skills, confidence, honour and strength to convey his/her goal and dreams to others. That is highly destructive to the secretive since every time they're secretive they're subtly reinforcing their self-perceived weakness. Their internal message to themselves is that they cannot achieve their goal and/or that they're unworthy.

Silencing another person in any way is the ultimate betrayal of our self because it reinforces our inner talk that says, "*I'm not adequate, I won't be able to counter what the other person says.*" Or the controller says within: "*maybe I'm wrong.*" Perhaps she/he can't face up to that because they invested their concept of self in being correct. If wrong their fabricated world collapses, their perception of self is wounded.

Such people are already living in misery. Instead of punishing, we need to show compassion. We need to forgive. (Appendix 18 discusses true forgiveness.)

The most difficult challenge seems to be to forgive those closest to us and ironically, those who hurt those closest to us. In both cases the ego is most threatened

Always though, people are doing the best they can.

When people try to control it can be very annoying. It's important to understand though that those trying to control others may be driven to meet unmet universal human needs: protection from perceived fear for themselves, care for another, ..., protection from self-perceived weaknesses, vulnerabilities and threats.

Always though beneath every behaviour there's an unmet need or sometimes possibly maintenance of a need already met. Within humans unmet needs often include needs for acceptance and belonging. These are used repeatedly within Al Gore's movie *An Inconvenient Truth*. One wonders that although *AIT* was cleverly scripted and orchestrated by Hollywood directors adept at triggering and harvesting emotions, if Al Gore doesn't have the same need for acceptance.

We can guess another person's needs. We cannot though be certain of their need.

Most people are similar to Al Gore: humans are *fabricators*

Every human is born of the universe. We share its inherent traits. We depart though in our thoughts and fears made possible by the formation of the ego. That becomes expert at hiding itself, especially from our real *self*.

It seems that creation of the ego is affected by many factors. These likely include genes and especially early childhood influences such as parents and social culture, experiences and immature childhood perceptions.

Life becomes complex. On the surface people see actions. On another level perhaps are people's words. Beneath that are the enabling thoughts, feelings and universal human needs.

Those willing to explore more deeply may discover their intent toward the world. Do I, for example, see the world as fearful and threatening or as the universe created it: abundant, loving and providing for everyone's needs? My intent toward the world depends on and is shaped by my beliefs or delusions that I fabricated about our world over many years.

In this way my core delusions (beliefs) drive my intent and shape my thoughts and perceived needs that in turn trigger my feelings that in turn ignite my words and actions.

In this way, the basis seems likely to be one's core delusions/beliefs about the world and about self.

This is an attempt to summarise succinctly. In reality it's more complex. The key point though is that humans each iteratively fabricate the world we each perceive.

Yet we each remain a creation of the universe. We start our journey as humans one-with-the-universe. Through life's experiences we fabricate our ego and see ourselves as separate from the world. In doing so we separate our self from others. Yet we all originated from the same source. We are of the same common source.

When I identify with my body as my self, the ego separates me from the universe and from other people. Yet the reality is that my self is a non-physical concept that I fabricated. The *I* that I fabricated is not my body. *I* am not a body. *I* am a creation of my mind, a level of consciousness.

Al Gore is not unique in fabricating the world. We all fabricate our perceptions of our self, of each other and of our world.

We each develop an ego in addition to our real Self. That dichotomy causes much guilt and fear.

Priorities and limitations of my own personal understanding prevent delving into this more deeply here. We can summarise by saying that **humans do not see with our eyes, we see with our mind**. We see what the ego wants to see in order to reinforce the ego's fabricated and unfounded delusions and fears.

Whether we each perceive the ego's fear or our real Self's inherent love determines whether we control others or are truly free and have no need to control.

To illustrate, recognise that the same event can occur to five individuals at the same time and place. Vastly different feelings though can be triggered within each person. One person may feel afraid. Another happy. Another angry. Another sad. The fifth confused.

We create what we perceive. What we perceive triggers our thoughts, feelings and needs. Feelings and needs trigger our response.

Often humans react automatically to events driven sub-consciously by patterns developed in childhood. In that way others control us. When aware of the ego's tricks though and aware that we fabricate our delusions about every event, we realise that we are, in essence, fabricating the world we perceive. When we're conscious of that we become free to choose our response. Instead of blindly reacting and later regretting our reaction we can choose a productive response.

What's sobering is that for each of us our view of the world reflects our belief system. When we see others as evil we see that trait in them because we see it in ourselves. We're each human. It seems that we see in other humans that which we fear exists in us as a human.

Each person justifies everything she/he does. Otherwise she/he wouldn't do it. Everything is done to meet a perceived need that is fabricated from our perceptions in looking at the world through our filters developed during our life.

When this is understood it becomes easier to realise that Al Gore likely sees his climate falsities as a valid strategy to save the world. Or perhaps he needs to make money so that he can save the world from humans. I don't know. I cannot know.

That does not mean we take no action. It simply guides us in taking action motivated by an intent that does no harm to our self and therefore no harm to others. Rather than punish others and thereby hurt our self we aim to prevent. **Rather than make value judgments classifying people as good or bad or evil or nice or ... we obtain**

clarity and connection through aiming to understand needs—ours and the other person's.

Prevention may still require sending someone to gaol (jail). Whether sending someone to gaol is to punish or prevent depends on the intent driving the gaoling. That depends on whether or not we make value judgments about the other person or we look objectively at the facts and understand needs of all parties.

We still aim to protect life and property. I still reveal that Al Gore's falsities contradict empirical scientific evidence and there is no need to cut human CO₂ output. First though I try to look within to determine my needs and feelings to ensure I'm conscious of my intent. If my intent is to harm Al Gore, it hurts my self. Is it to respectfully meet my universal human needs or to reinforce my ego? The latter is destructive. It alienates me from others and from our Universe. It brings me pain even though I may be unconscious of that pain at the time.

Yes, we know Al Gore stated falsities profusely in his movie and continues spreading falsities in his media releases. He avoids debate and reportedly has a net worth of over \$100 million thanks largely to his corrupt *work* on climate. Yet, like everything every human does he did it to meet his needs and he no doubt believes his actions are justified. Otherwise he wouldn't do what he does. He's doing the best he can.

I'm developing compassion for the pain that is becoming increasingly visible on Al Gore's face and in his tone. I try to remember that in his mind he's not doing anything wrong. He's doing the best he can. Just as I am. Just as every person is.

Similarly, international bankers and Maurice Strong pushing global governance honestly believe that they're justified in causing harm to people. They likely cannot or don't want to see the deaths they caused of tens of millions of people. They seem to adopt Malthusian and extreme dark green ideology that humans are rapacious, uncaring, irresponsible, greedy and possibly evil. That's the world they fabricated. The role their egos (and my ego) fabricated for themselves could be that they see their role as saving the world.

Understanding this and knowing that the world we each fabricate reflects one's view of one's self develops our awareness. Instead of anger or guilt I'm developing compassion for the pain and fear felt consciously or sub-consciously within the minds of people pushing antihuman ideology. They feel that pain within themselves and about themselves. They may not be conscious of their pain and may even externalise it by projecting it onto others. ie, they may blame others. Yet the pain is there within.

The ego is wily. We initially find it difficult to believe that a part of our mind would hurt our self. That's one of the ego's key tricks for hiding itself to maintain its existence. Above all, the ego seems to fear its death. The ego seeks to maintain its existence.

Being human means that the ego exists. That seems to be the nature of the Human Condition. Our challenge seems to be though whether or not we choose—consciously or sub-consciously—to be guided by the ego or by our real Self.

Accessing our real Self can be done partly by forgiving in the true sense of the word. This is discussed in Appendix 18.

Control hurts the controller and is counterproductive

Many people today understand that the playground bully has deep-seated fears. That does not mean we condone the bully's intimidation. It does though assist in shaping strategies for ending bullying. To develop effective strategies we need a clear head and clear heart.

Even when driven by genuine care, persistent control fails because it weakens the intended beneficiary. Kerryn Pholi expresses it well:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/feelings-no-motive-for-respect/story-e6frg6zo-1226531719332>

Longer version:

<http://www.quadrant.org.au/magazine/issue/2012/12/silencing-dissent-inside-the-aboriginal-industry>

And:

<http://www.abc.net.au/unleashed/4281772.html>

Despite lacking intimate knowledge of the needs of international bankers and members of the Bilderberg Group, Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission and Club of Rome we can still have compassion. They're digging themselves deeper into pain and weakness.

Witness the nightmare they've created in Europe with their socialist dream. As with past crises such as the Great Depression international bankers could be deliberately engineering Europe's collapse. (Appendix 14). Any such crisis could be followed by international bankers' agents and politicians calling for greater regulatory control or for more taxpayer-funded bailouts or ... who knows? Although not likely, it's possibly international bankers may feel overwhelmed and vulnerable if they perceive that they've lost control of their European Union test bed for global governance through the UN.

Beneath control there's always fear. Fear of loss of assets already possessed. Fear of rejection, fear of failure, fear of not being accepted, fear of weakness, ... etc. These prey on universal human needs for acceptance, recognition, security, ...

The first owner of the privately owned Bank Of England accelerated the destructive rot, the need to control national and global banking and currency. Mayer Rothschild made it transnational. Once he controlled Europe maybe he feared losing his empire. When we control we often fear losing control. Maybe his five sons feared losing control?

Those seeking to control others need and deserve our compassion. They need it from us with a clear head and heart. Compassion clears our mind and heart so that we can choose a clear and strong response that brings us peace not pain. The solution to control is not more control or wielding a bigger stick. That only embroils the carrier of the bigger stick in the pain of control. The solution is in Appendix 18.

Abandoning control brings freedom. It brings freedom for the person being controlled and especially for the controller. We can all be free. We are inherently free. Our minds seemingly were free at birth. We experienced universal love. As our ego developed it substituted fear and guilt for our inherent freedom, love and universal peace. The ego's work continued to make value judgments to keep us separated from others and from our real Self and from the universe. In this way we trap ourselves. We remove our freedom.

The ego maintains separation and fear of losing the ego. That seems to be the Human Condition. At this stage of my life's journey it seems to explain the Human Condition. Maybe at a future stage after more experience and more exploration within I'll conclude differently.

Personal experience and observation reveal fear driving dishonesty in attempts to suppress and control people. The methods vary. None are new. They have plagued humanity for millennia and manifest in many ways. I have personal experience of two prominent Australian reporters misrepresenting people including me. In that it undermines my trust in them it hurts: I ask myself how could I have been so gullible and trusting? Yet their attempts to control others as detailed in Appendix 13 illustrates the constant tussle between perceived fear and inherent human freedom: Control versus liberty; Suppression versus truth; Fear versus love; Bureaucracy versus creativity; Stagnation versus initiative; Misery versus joy; Captivity versus freedom; Unawareness versus consciousness; Blind reaction versus considered response; ...

It's easy for the ego to derail loving humans from the inherent joy of our human spirit and our humanness.

Our trustworthiness makes us vulnerable

People's inherent goodness leaves us vulnerable to control. From what I've seen in many nations, overwhelmingly humans are trustworthy. We tend to think others are as we are. So we trust others, especially those in authority. We're trusting.

We find it easy to believe others, even those few people who take advantage of our trust. Some of those people are making decisions in parliament.

Over the course of our early lives we condition ourselves to trust authority in the shape of authority figures such as teachers and school Principals. We place trust in government. People have deep trust in authority because it makes our lives more orderly. People develop enormous trust in government and in organisations like CSIRO, BOM and NASA-GISS that we trusted all our lives. People have difficulty believing we misplaced our trust.

The smashing of our deeply ingrained trust in authority leaves us feeling vulnerable. That translates in many people to uncertainty and thus fear. That can drive people to continue denial and to relying on authority. There remains though an awkward feeling in the gut.

Or, it can translate into deep anger from being deceived. That anger is enormously

powerful. It is though dangerous and anger cannot be maintained for long periods in the way that hope and sincere care can continue motivating people. Anger and fear sap human energy. Hope and care nourish our lives and the lives of others.

We've been conned about something as profoundly fundamental as part of the air we breathe. That part, CO₂, is essential to life on Earth. Authority figures tried to con us into believing that our CO₂ will someday devastate our planet's temperature.

Appendix 14 then revealed that our money is a fraud to control us. Our national governance is influenced by international bankers through the IMF. It's pushing global control. We're bombarded by the con that, as humans, we are greedy, irresponsible, rapacious, uncaring and soulless bellies. (Appendix 14)

We're being assaulted and terrorised about this by the supposed caretaker of world peace, the UN. It's joined by a group using a cute panda as its logo, WWF. It tells us that it supposedly cares for Earth's animals because humans do not. Yet WWF's antihuman campaigns have injured and killed millions of people.

Greenpeace performs what its media releases claim are supposedly heroic acts. In reality their actions based on antihuman ideology include industrial terrorism destroying people's livelihoods. The champagne Greens supposedly care about all things good yet destroy people's legitimate livelihoods and fabricate political ploys aligned with their antihuman agenda. Al Gore is supposedly saving our planet from us because humans supposedly don't care. Yet his use of Earth's resources is revealed as extravagant.

We've been conned about the air we breathe (CO₂), our money, our governance, and our human nature. Can there be things more fundamental on which people would seek to con and control us? Given that, is there anything else on which we've been conned?

The core challenge

There is only one problem facing Australia: over-government and government funding. They act as chains to corrupt and drive behaviours aligned with government's agenda. They force and are powered by huge tax enabling control directly through driving behaviours and indirectly through systems such as the ABC and grants to academics. They produce massive waste preyed on by international bankers to create loans to burden future generations of taxpayers.

Government control comes primarily through our federal parliament and from the UN's deceitful antihuman campaigns breaching Australia's constitution. Tax is used as a means of growing government control and weakening people and nations. Taxation is plunder. Income tax was established to pay interest on loans concocted by banks out of thin air, out of nothing.

The core of the problem is the Human Condition. The core issue is control, being weakness.

Appendix 18 will consider some solutions for restoring freedom, connection and care.
We can return to being our real Self.

*"Let us disappoint the men who would raise themselves upon the ruin of our
country."*

John Adams

"It is the duty of the patriot to protect his country from its government."

Thomas Paine

*"In the beginning of a change, the Patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and
scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs
nothing to be a patriot."*

Mark Twain

STOP INSANITY - RESTORE HUMANITY