Malcolm Roberts 180 Haven Road Pullenvale QLD 4069

Monday, February 18th, 2013

Mr. Stuart Robert, MP PO Box 6022 House of Representatives Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Mr. Robert:

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND IN GOOD FAITH – by post and by facsimile

Thank you for your reply dated February 11th, 2013. Your promptness is appreciated.

From your letter's first paragraph I conclude that you misunderstood my letter's core point. I regret my ineffective communication and now clarify that my letter dated February 4th, 2013 introduced my report entitled *CSIROh!* My report documents extensive corruption of climate science by government-funded individuals and agencies. That corruption is the basis of the government's carbon dioxide tax and your party's *Direct Action* policy.

Your letter's second paragraph is inaccurate. Pages 32-34 in my report's Appendix 12 show that I am dissatisfied with Greg Hunt's stance and behaviour. Appendix 12 is available here: <u>http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/12_appendix.pdf</u>. I am becoming dissatisfied with my Federal Member for Ryan, Ms. Jane Prentice who has failed to respond to both my most recent letters to her. Greg and Jane are copied hereto.

In view of your misunderstanding please allow me to clarify: I am critical of your party's policy on *Direct Action*. It contradicts empirical scientific evidence and is a waste of money in so far as it falsely pretends to affect global climate by cutting human CO2 production. In continuing to advocate that policy contrary to extensive empirical scientific evidence and logical scientific reasoning you and your party are acting against Australia's interests. If you continue to support *Direct Action* I will conclude that you are apparently behaving either negligently or dishonestly.

Whether or not you support Liberal party policy contradicting empirical scientific evidence is your choice. Your support will condone corruption of climate and science. As I see it, Liberal policy is less damaging than that of the ALP-Greens alliance. It's similar though in that both their policy and the Liberal Party's policy are based on deceit. To continue advocating that policy is dishonest. In advocating that policy you will be complicit in corruption of science.

Could you please confirm whether or not you support the Liberal Party's *Direct Action* policy to cut human CO2 output? If you do support it, what is the scientific basis of your support?

You seem to rely on Greg Hunt. Yet his advocacy to cut human CO2 production is apparently based only upon his belief. His belief contradicts empirical scientific evidence. He has no empirical scientific evidence for his false, unfounded and unscientific claim.

From your letter I conclude that you fail to take responsibility for your support of *Direct Action* and for condoning continued corruption of climate science. By extension it seems that you are willing to allow UN Agenda 21 to continue destroying Australia's sovereignty and governance.

Will you be willing please to state whether or not you take responsibility for your support of policy based on corruption? Are you aware of UN Agenda 21?

A second concern is that your party supports the Renewable Energy Target similarly based on corruption of climate science. In view of growing public concern about environmental and financial costs of such policy and comments from your party colleagues against that policy would you be willing please to clarify your position? Specifically, do you support the added costs to energy consumers despite the fact that the RET can have no effect on global climate?

In future, could you please use correct terminology? The government's tax is not, as you say, a *carbon tax*. It is supposedly a tax on carbon dioxide (CO2). To state otherwise is deceitful.

My experience with federal MPs indicates that many are swamped by parliamentary and party systems. There seems little opportunity for MPs to obtain adequate understanding of key issues and much opportunity for MPs to be misled, deliberately or inadvertently by party powerbrokers, lobbyists and media. I am available to meet with you to personally share investigations from five years of research into climate fraud and science. I am willing to arrange for independent, non-aligned scientists to accompany me and brief you personally.

This letter and your response will be posted on the Internet. You have a golden opportunity to restore trust by advising actions that you will take to protect Australians from UN Agenda 21 raised in my previous letter and in my report, *CSIROh1*. I repeat that voters "need you to rescind all legislation on the carbon dioxide tax and Renewable Energy Target. We need an open, impartial inquiry into corruption of climate science requiring evidence under oath." What is your position on these previously implied and now stated requests made of you?

I repeat: "The report provides all the evidence you need to repeal the tax, stop the Coalition's Direct Action and regain people's trust, respect and admiration. I'm available to discuss it with you. Which party will reveal and repeal first? Which MP will win people's hearts and votes by placing people first, party second? Will you demonstrate integrity to secure Australia's future?" What is your response to these statements? What will you do to protect Australians?

I hope that in your reply you will take responsibility for your party's position and indicate that you realise your first duty is to the people you represent. Given that your responsibilities include *defence science* I hope that on a matter of science you will act with integrity.

Yours sincerely,

Malcolm RobertsBE (Hons, QLD), MBA (Chicago)Fellow AICD, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MAIM, MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)Phone: 04 1964 2379malcolmr@conscious.com.auwww.conscious.com.au

cc: Jane Prentice, Greg Hunt