

Date published: Monday, February 4<sup>th</sup>, 2012  
Latest update:

## APPENDIX 15

### NON-GOVERNMENT ORGANISATIONS, NGO'S

This document is part of, and intended to be read in conjunction with,  
all parts of and appendices to the document entitled *CSIROh!*

*“You must remember, my dear lady, the most important rule of any successful illusion: First, the people must want to believe in it.”*  
Libba Bray, *The Sweet Far Thing*

*“Just because something isn't a lie does not mean that it isn't deceptive. A liar knows that he is a liar, but one who speaks mere portions of truth in order to deceive is a craftsman of destruction.”*  
Criss Jami

The genuine environmental movement is one of Earth's most important. Yet its credibility and reputation are suffering as people openly question environmental activists and academic advocates funded by government. Respect and hope are being replaced by ridicule, derision and even scorn. Increasingly people feel confused and seek understanding, clarity and reassurance.

NGO's contradicting empirical scientific evidence to corruptly push climate alarm are parasitic: they kill or deplete their host—people.

They push the antihuman agenda revealed in Appendix 14. They deceitfully solicit donations by duping the people they harm. They're funded by taxpayers through government programs and grants. Their huge incomes are tax-free in many nations including Australia, further hurting citizens financially. Many push a political agenda deceitfully wrapped as environmental. Their roots are documented to be in Nazi policies and although their methods are portrayed as democratic and enlightened their methods are steeped in hidden control.

Appendix 14 revealed corruption and genocide based on erroneous Malthusian ideology underpinning and supposedly justifying global control by a tight-knit secretive group on behalf of the UN and international bankers. This appendix reveals their foot-soldiers, NGO's being political activists dressed as environmentalists.

They deceitfully prey on people's inherent care for the environment, our planet and humanity. In doing so they foment unfounded cancerous fear and guilt on the children and young adults they target.

## WWF

Facts reveal that WWF is a parasitic organisation: it's killing, maiming and/or sickening the host it feeds off—people. Its purpose is not protecting the environment. It was founded as part of the push for global control revealed in Appendix 14. Henry Lamb's succinct, concise and powerful videos reveal WWF's role, here:

<http://shelf3d.com/Search/The%2BRise%2Bof%2BGlobal%2BGovernance%2BPlayListIDPLKjJE86mQRtsd2abcjQkgq4uw-H5MLvMa>

The formation of WWF is discussed here after 14 minutes elapsed in Part 2:

<http://shelf3d.com/iW1WLGouUgQ#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%202>

Facts reveal that the environmental movement has been hijacked by NGO's, nongovernment organisations pushing antihuman and environmentally damaging policies falsely camouflaged as environmentally friendly.

British author James Delingpole explains why WWF is far more dangerous than the scares it uses to instil and spread fear. Canadian author Elaine Dewar reveals WWF's international and Canadian governance concentrated in the hands of directors from Rothmans Pall Mall tobacco company.

WWF's co-founder is the late Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands. He was reportedly a Nazi sympathiser and was co-founder of the Bilderberg group seeking to crush human freedom. WWF's other co-founder is Britain's Prince Philip of Greek origin.

Canadian researcher and author Donna Laframboise reveals that the UN IPP is infected with WWF activists. WWF and Greenpeace have infiltrated the corrupt UN IPCC. Quote: "*Two thirds of the chapters in the 2007 [UN IPCC] report included, among their personnel, at least one individual linked to the WWF. One third of the chapters were led by an WWF-affiliated author.*" WWF has a hidden role in producing significant parts of reports corruptly marketed by the UN IPCC as scientific.

<http://nofrakkingconsensus.com/2013/01/08/the-secret-santa-leak/>

The UN IPCC relies on vested interests and NGO's to write, enshrine and spread its reports:

<http://www.climate-resistance.org/2011/05/the-inter-ngo-panel-on-climate-change.html>

The following reference books reveal many deep concerns about WWF's activities and methods. They provide the primary evidence for this appendix's discussions on the antihuman core of nongovernment organisations falsely portraying themselves as environmental groups:

- *Cloak of Green* by Elaine Dewar
- *Wolves in sheep's clothing* by Amy McGrath  
([http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps\\_Clothing.pdf](http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps_Clothing.pdf))
- *Killing the Earth to save it* by James Delingpole
- *Merchants of Despair* by Robert Zubrin

- *The Rational Optimist* by Matt Ridley

These reference books are supplemented by a large variety of Internet web pages and newspaper articles for people whose priorities preclude reading the primary references. Some of these more easily available sources may be useful to provide background, support material and context.

WWF has offices in more than 60 countries and a staff of 5,000 people. WWF is funded by the world's largest and most powerful foundations with some connected to international banks.

Here are \$USD figures on turnover and funding of nongovernment organisations:

<http://joannenova.com.au/2012/02/logic-gate-the-smog-blog-exposes-irrational-rage-innumeracy-and-heartlands-efficient-success/>

Note that WWF pays no taxes. Greenpeace paid no taxes until New Zealand revoked its tax-free status. It retains tax-free status in other countries. Turnovers in annual budgets, quote:

*"Greenpeace \$300m 2010 Annual Report*

*WWF \$700m*

*Pew Charitable Trust \$360m 2010 Annual Report*

*Sierra Club \$56m 2010 Annual Report*

*NSW climate change fund (just one random govt example) \$750m*

*NSW Gov (A\$700m)*

*Heartland Institute \$6.4m*

*US government funding for climate science and technology \$7,000m (\$7B) "Climate Money"*

*US government funding for "climate related appropriations" \$1,300m (\$1.3B) USAID 2010*

*Annual turnover in global carbon markets \$120,000m (\$120B) 2010 Point Carbon*

*Annual investment in renewable energy \$243,000m (\$230B) 2010 BNEF*

*US government funding for skeptical scientists \$ 0*

*These are annual turnovers or annual budgets*

*So what the expose shows is that the Heartland Institute punches far above its weight with an incredibly efficient budget. That is, of course, assuming that the so-called expose is real and not a fake, or altered, which it could be, watch the Heartland site for any confirmation or information.*

*This is a wake up call to the freedom loving people of the world, it's time to make donations a regular part of your monthly budget to support all the people out there who work on your behalf (SEE DONATE BUTTON ON LEFT). The fact that Heartland has only one major, generous donor is remarkable. Where are the rest?*

*The hypocrisy is flagrant. The Sierra Club listed a category for \$1,000,000 donations by "anonymous donors" in their 2010 annual report. Strangely DeSmog didn't froth with anticipation. Their Sierra Club annual report mentions "Matching Gifts", and apparently supporters who matched gifts include the evil Exxon, not to mention Goldman Sachs, Barclays, Google, Monsanto, Nestle, Yahoo, Bank of America, and many many more. But that's alright then.*

*And if Bob Carter receives an honorarium type amount of \$1500 a month, the pull of those big dollars must be powerfully tempting for people like Tim Flannery who struggle along on about \$1200 each day he works.”*

For more on funding of NGO's and supposed environmental groups see here:

<http://icecap.us/index.php/go/joes-blog/P45/>

Is ABC reporter Wendy Carlisle (Appendix 13) aware that according to Elaine Dewar in her seminal book entitled 'Cloak of Green', quote: “WWF Canada was actually run for its first ten years by executives seconded to it from Rothman-Pall Mall Canada, a subsidiary of the South African-owned tobacco giant, Rothman International. This mirrored events at WWF International. The founder of Rothman International, Rupert Anton, joined the board of WWF International in 1968. He and his company provided funds and personnel to run the international organisation in Switzerland just as the Rothman's subsidiary in Canada did for WWF Canada”? (page 334)

Are Wendy Carlisle and other taxpayer-funded ABC reporters aware that according to Elaine Dewar, quote: “... by 1990, significant chunks of Canadian taxpayers' dollars, charitable dollars, and corporate dollars were run through WWF Canada for work done offshore. In sum, WWF Canada was not anything like the image I had carried in my mind for years. It is neither a democratic nor a representative organization and never has been. It has a restricted and partially secret group of funders and members. Its annual report is not carefully reflective of where its donations come from or how its money is spent. It responds to the themes set by its international parent which is not transparent or democratic either. The parent organization, World Wide Fund for Nature, is directed by members of aristocratic families, CEO's of major oil, gas, transport, pharmaceutical, investment, tobacco, and banking interests with strong political connections. The parent takes money from people with a need to buy political influence. The parent has hired people who have worked for intelligence agencies. The objectives of the organization can be interpreted as real concern for the dangers facing human life or as attempts by managers and owners of multinational corporations, with considerable influence on Western governments, to preserve areas likely to produce the riches of the future.”

Such corruption and damage are enabled by mainstream media journalists who omit significant facts and/or contradict publicly available facts.

Yet ABC reporter Wendy Carlisle, the ABC and others in mainstream media imply honest scientists making a living successfully applying their science in the real world are dishonest or tainted because they're geologists? Thanks to those honest scientists Australia's export income is billions higher than it otherwise would be.

Unlike WWF and Greenpeace, those honest scientists pay taxes.

Dark green activists smear climate realists (skeptics) for receiving funds from coal and oil companies. The reality is that such funds are rare and tiny since corporations are afraid to run afoul of government. Indeed, oil money has been prominent in WWF's start and operation:

<http://nofrackingconsensus.com/2012/04/11/the-wwfs-vast-pool-of-oil-money/>

And:

<http://www.infowars.com/big-green-oil-money-wwf-founded-with-money-from-royal-dutch-shell/>

Note the interconnections among companies benefitting from renewable energy interests whose unfounded, unscientific and untenable damaging position is supposedly justified by the climate scam:

<http://joannenova.com.au/2012/08/big-green-machine-ge-makes-21-billion-a-year-on-clean-energy/>

And:

<http://www.climateinstitute.org.au/climate-partners.html>

Appendix 12 reveals publicly available information connecting the Australian government's Minister for Climate Change, Greg Combet with union controlled superannuation funds that own Pacific Hydro, a major player in wind *energy* and major recipient of taxpayer funding.

Please see below for Greenpeace making false claims wrapped in a UN IPCC report encouraging investment in renewable energy schemes.

Other groups pushing the climate scam were discussed before Appendix 14. Unlike them, NGO's involved in pushing the climate scam are discussed after Appendix 14 revealed the organised push for global governance. That's because NGO's are products of, and agents for, that push.

Foundations connected with international banking interests provide massive funding to NGO's. See below.

Maurice Strong is recognised as the father of unfounded and unscientific climate alarm. (Appendix 14). He reportedly founded, co-opted, hijacked, enabled and/or connected NGO's with major financing. Reportedly NGO's became his vehicle pushing unfounded climate alarm as a supposedly environmental cause. He used NGO's on the floor of the UN's 1992 Rio conference to fabricate the illusion to politicians that climate alarm was a hot political topic pushed by grass roots movements. Reacting to perceived numbers of votes in climate alarm the subsequent UN conference at Kyoto saw many nations sign the Kyoto Treaty. It was planned to be the start of global CO<sub>2</sub> trading. That would have ensnared national governments in a massive financial scam controlled by major international financial interests such as Goldman Sachs. Fortunately CO<sub>2</sub> trading is collapsing.

For more on Maurice Strong see this video from 14 minutes onwards and Appendix 14:

[http://shelf3d.com/jNFcoZ4V\\_Rc#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%203](http://shelf3d.com/jNFcoZ4V_Rc#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%203)

Intertwined connections between international bankers, the UN, Maurice Strong, major foundations and NGO's are revealed here:

[http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/un\\_agenda\\_21\\_will\\_rule\\_the\\_us\\_waves.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/un_agenda_21_will_rule_the_us_waves.pdf)

Quote: "The work begun all those years ago by Maurice Strong for a world government still proceeds and still is being driven by Strong and many of his colleagues from that time. The bodies and institutions that he cleverly set up have done their job well, as has his policy of NGO involvement. The major growth of

NGO's funded by the eco-billionaires such as Soros, Sandler, Rockefeller, Rothschild, Packard, Hewlitt, Grantham and others, ensures that the UN is in the driving seat of national policy. The National Ocean Policy is another step along the way and be assured that the push to ratify the UN Law of the Sea Treaty will gain prominence again in the not too distant future."

Complementing the work of Robert Zurbin is the book entitled *Fatal Mis-Conception: The Struggle to Control World Population* by Professor of History at Columbia University, Matthew Connelly.

Steven F. Hayward (Claremont Review of Books 20081201) says, quote: "[This] brilliant new history of the population control movement is useful not simply on its theme but for the light it sheds on the political corruption that inevitably accompanies these world-saving enthusiasms ... As Connelly lays out in painstaking detail, population control programs, aimed chiefly at developing nations, proliferated despite clear human rights abuses and, more importantly, new data and information that called into question many of the fundamental assumptions of the crisis mongers."

Fred Pearce, environmental correspondent at Britain's *Guardian* newspaper notorious pushing unfounded climate alarm says, quote: "Connelly lays bare the dark secrets of an authoritarian neo-Malthusian ethos that created an international population agenda built around control ... as an investigative narrative of how individuals, NGOs, governments and UN agencies colluded over decades to sideline human rights of hundreds of millions of the world's poorest citizens, this is a valuable and extremely readable work."

Peter Bobroff AM gleaned the following key points from a careful reading, quote: "The book covers the birth of ideas from people such as Margaret Sanger (concerned partly with the plight of poor women with no knowledge of birth control) and the early eugenicists (some wanting to save racial purity and some afraid of over population). These people start organisations (early NGOs - non government organisations) in order to confront existing ideas and authority and to lobby governments.

These NGOs attract funds from wealthy benefactors (such as John D Rockefeller III) and eventually from philanthropic foundations (Ford Foundation, Pathfinder Fund, Rockefeller Foundation). International conferences are used to promote the cause and reveal differences that result in splits and mergers. Some conferences are stage managed and some are by invitation only.

The pseudo sciences: eugenics and demography were promoted to add stature to the cause. Many universities opened departments and institutes to attract these funds. The theories expounded are not adequately tested by observation, trial or experiment. Policies based on these theories are seldom halted when they fail in practice. (Note similarities with the climate scam and the campaign that created the US Fed)

The activists appear in senior government and UN positions and those organisations take up the cause.

*Funds are channeled from government departments such as USAID to NGOs to avoid accountability. The NGOs have little trouble convincing third world elites to cooperate. Devices and drugs that fail to meet the originating country's standards are exported in the millions to the third world programs. Untold misery is caused.*

*Prominent agencies are: UN Fund for Population Activities, US Agency for International Development (USAID), Swedish International Development Authority, World Bank.*

*Prominent NGOs were: Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Population Council, International Planned Parenthood Federation.*

*Untold misery was inflicted on the populations of India, Africa and China for no benefit by the believers in the goals of the population control movements.*

*The 1994 Cairo conference resulted in the effective death of the population control movements, as the sensible women in the various organisations finally acted together for the benefit of women in general and not for the goals of their organisations."*

*Matthew Connelly independently confirms and extends issues documented by Robert Zubrin.*

*NGO's WWF and Greenpeace are key players aiding the UN IPCC in its corrupt climate scam. (Appendix 2). More examples are available here:*

*Quote: "Moreover, many of the authors are up to their necks in activism. For example, two of the four lead authors of the Asia chapter of the 2007 IPCC report were affiliated with the World Wildlife Fund. That chapter was where the report claimed that Himalayan glaciers would disappear by 2035, based on a non-peer-reviewed publication from, you guessed it, WWF.*

*Likewise, nine chapters of the 2007 report were based partly on the work of the Australian marine biologist Ove Hoegh-Guldberg who was also a contributing author, and has been promoted to a co-ordinating lead author for the next report.*

*As Laframboise discovered: "Hoegh-Guldberg has had close ties to activist organisations for the past 17 years. Between 1994 and 2000 he wrote four reports about coral reefs and climate change that were funded, vetted and published by Greenpeace. Since then he has written two more for the World Wildlife Fund."*

*<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/opinion/ipcc-warming-assessments-attract-the-activists-and-snob-the-sceptics/story-e6frg6zo-1226180881974>*

*Quote: "It is no surprise that the EU and governments, spurious quasi-autonomous organisations and NGOs are in cahoots. It has long been known that organisations such as Friends of the Earth and WWF are paid by the EU to lobby the EU in favour of the policies that the EU wants. And it is no surprise that the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change takes research that benefits the agendas of governments. We all knew this much."*

*Quote: "As everybody now knows, the headlines from IPCC WGIII (Working Group 3) report on renewable energy appear to have been written by Greenpeace. When the Summary for Policy Makers was published last month, I was one of many who*

*noted the role of Greenpeace, and the extent to which the SPM's authors were involved in the renewable energy industry. Steve McIntyre's discovery has caused further criticism of the IPCC's letting such overt agendas near its evidence-making for policy-makers, even from the green camp, albeit only because it is such bad PR. But there is yet more to this story."*

<http://www.bishop-hill.net/blog/2011/6/16/ideological-money-laundering.html>

And:

<http://climateaudit.org/2011/06/14/ipcc-wg3-and-the-greenpeace-karaoke/>

And:

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2011/06/16/a-blunder-of-staggering-proportions-by-the-ipcc/>

And:

[http://www.thecommentator.com/article/2240/greenpeace\\_wwf\\_wind\\_claims\\_blown\\_away/page/2#.UMjtb1SK4R8.twitter](http://www.thecommentator.com/article/2240/greenpeace_wwf_wind_claims_blown_away/page/2#.UMjtb1SK4R8.twitter)

The connections between Greenpeace and the UN IPCC are at the latter's highest level. The UN IPCC chairman Rajendra Pachauri wrote the preface to Greenpeace's report on renewable energy:

<http://climateaudit.org/2011/06/14/ipcc-wg3-and-the-greenpeace-karaoke/>

David Karoly is reported to be on WWF's Science Advisory Panel. Appendices 2 and 9 reveal him to be arguably the UN IPCC's most senior person driving its unfounded core claim about human CO<sub>2</sub>.

Greenpeace and WWF fabricate elaborate concoctions to hide the lack of empirical scientific evidence for their claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming. When trying to debunk climate sceptics they rely on distractions.

They're afraid of open, fair public debate. During a public forum in Brisbane I shared the podium with Kellie Caught, then WWF Australia's Manager for Climate Change. I requested her to provide real-world (empirical scientific) evidence that HUMAN CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming. She failed to provide such evidence. Yet she publicly advocated cutting HUMAN CO<sub>2</sub> production and increasing energy prices. She made unfounded statements on the three massive misrepresentations listed in Appendix 5.

At the same time, I publicly challenged her and Greens senator-elect Larissa Waters to debate on global warming's three core topics: (1) the UN IPCC—the basis of the government's and Green's climate policies (and WWF and Greenpeace campaigns), (2) real-world empirical scientific evidence—the only sound basis for climate policy, and (3) the economics—the impacts of climate policy. I proposed that such a debate be followed by an open forum enabling the audience to hold speakers accountable for their statements and data sources.

Kellie Caught jumped to her feet to quickly refuse any debate.

NGO's campaigning to cut human output of CO<sub>2</sub> contradict empirical scientific evidence. They spread unfounded fear and guilt as they pursue antihuman and anti-environment ideological agenda aimed at instilling global control on behalf of their paymasters.

In reality WWF operates as a part of the UN pack. It's designed to support the UN IPCC by speaking as though it's a separate body representing grass-roots initiatives. The reality though is that the same people who control the UN ultimately control WWF. Both organisations push antihuman policies hurting people and the environment. WWF's relationship to the UN is revealed by Henry Lamb in Part 4 of his video series on global governance:

<http://shelf3d.com/IDxxd8ycxCI#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%20%204>

## **Learning more about nongovernment organisations and their interactions**

Tome22 provides an indexed reference database with information on nongovernment organisations:

<http://tome22.info/TypeViews/Organisations-Index.html>

For example, some connections to WWF are presented here:

<http://tome22.info/Organisations/WWF-World-Wildlife-Fund.html>

These include the World Bank, UN IPCC, UN agencies, Chicago Climate Exchange, Goldman Sachs, Maurice Strong, persons involved in Climategate emails, Journals and editorial boards, ...

## **Greenpeace**

Greenpeace co-founder Patrick Moore resigned from Greenpeace because it had been politicized, hijacked:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YtevF4B4RtQ>

He cites Greenpeace as being political not environmental:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gPtC5K8wck8&feature=gv>

In New Zealand Greenpeace lost its charity status:

[http://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c\\_id=1&objectid=10724605](http://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c_id=1&objectid=10724605)

Quote: *"Greenpeace New Zealand's political activities mean it cannot register as a charity, the High Court has decided."*

Some connections to Greenpeace are presented here:

<http://tome22.info/Organisations/Greenpeace-Greenpeace-Research---Staff.html>

They include CSIRO, UN IPCC, NASA, UK Met Office, IMF, Rajendra Pachauri (UN IPCC Chair), Fabian Society, persons involved in Climategate emails, WWF, UN agencies, Chicago Climate Exchange, Climate Scientists Australia, Journals and editorial boards, ...

Greenpeace funds Australian biologist and UN IPCC Lead Author Ove Hoegh-Guldberg. He repeatedly misrepresents climate science, contradicts empirical scientific evidence, glosses over extensive UN IPCC corruption and ignores the UN IPCC's lack of empirical scientific evidence of human CO<sub>2</sub> causing global warming:

<http://nofrakingconsensus.com/2011/04/22/ka-ching-more-greenpeace-money/>

He's reportedly on Greenpeace's International Research Staff and WWF's Science Advisory Panel. (Appendix 9)

Greenpeace spreads ideology contradicting facts:

<http://climateaudit.org/2011/06/14/ipcc-wg3-and-the-greenpeace-karaoke/>

Greenpeace enables UN IPCC reports to be made easily. The UN IPCC simply slaps an IPCC cover on a Greenpeace activists' report. In this way Greenpeace political activists spread misrepresentations dressed as science wrapped in a UN IPCC cover:

<http://climateaudit.org/2011/06/14/ipcc-wg3-and-the-greenpeace-karaoke/>

Quote: *"It is totally unacceptable that IPCC should have had a Greenpeace employee as a Lead Author of the critical Chapter 10, that the Greenpeace employee, as an IPCC Lead Author, should (like Michael Mann and Keith Briffa in comparable situations) have been responsible for assessing his own work and that, with such inadequate and non-independent 'due diligence', IPCC should have featured the Greenpeace scenario in its press release on renewables.*

*Everyone in IPCC WG3 should be terminated and, if the institution is to continue, it should be re-structured from scratch."*

### **Nongovernment organizations work globally and locally**

NGO's work internationally and infect local councils calling for abolition of private property rights fundamental to freedom and responsibility. They are foot-soldiers implementing UN Agenda 21 through roles sponsoring, publicising and/or pushing local campaigns and statewide campaigns.

### **Nongovernment organisations come in many disguises, shapes and sizes**

In 2012 the Sierra Club reportedly wrote to Australian cabinet minister The Hon. Martin Ferguson to express its support for closing Australian power stations. The Sierra Club stated, quote: *"welcoming your commitment to close up to 2,000MW of Australia's dirtiest coal-fired power stations through the contracts for closure process as part of the Clean Energy Future legislation."*

Contrary to empirical scientific evidence the Australian government will actively pursue closure of power stations. Will this presumably incur further taxpayer debt as compensation is paid?

The Sierra Club did not disclose in their letter or on their website that had accepted a \$25 million donation from America's Chesapeake Energy natural gas company to fund its *Beyond Coal* campaign from 2007 to 2010. The NGO received a large donation from a major gas company to demonise a coal company, a commercial competitor.

This illustrates huge vested interests at work within the climate scam. Corruption begets more corruption. Unfounded regulation becomes an auction for vested interests to push their ideology and propaganda.

<http://thinkprogress.org/climate/2012/02/03/418140/sierra-club-admits-secretly-taking-26-million-from-chesapeake-natural-gas/?mobile=nc>

Nongovernment organisations infect politics, science and industry. For example, from its own words, the Pacific Institute seems to have more in common with advocacy groups, activists and nongovernment organisation than with scientific bodies. Consider its agenda, quote: *“Dr. Gleick’s work has redefined water from the realm of engineers to the world of social justice, sustainability, human rights, and integrated thinking. His influence on the field of water has been long and deep: he developed the first analysis of climate change impacts on water resources, the earliest comprehensive work on water and conflict, and defined basic human needs for water and the human right to water – work that has been used by the UN and in human rights court cases. He pioneered the concept of the “soft path for water,” developed the idea of “peak water,” and has written about the need for a “local water movement.”*”

[http://www.pacinst.org/about\\_us/staff\\_board/gleick/](http://www.pacinst.org/about_us/staff_board/gleick/)

This is consistent with activities pushing a global agenda. That is, removal of key resources from objective scientific and engineering criteria to place them instead into the world of politics and arbitrary opinion. That enables resources to be arbitrarily controlled by the politically powerful.

This is occurring in Australia in allocating Murray Darling Basin water and marine reserves.

Next, consider America’s Pacific Institute founded by Peter Gleick. He admitted using false pretences to steal material from a sceptic organisation to damage its reputation:

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/02/24/peter-gleick-requests-leave-of-absence-from-pacific-institute/>

It seems that advocates of cutting human CO<sub>2</sub> output will use almost any trick to discredit sceptics:

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/03/14/professional-forensic-stylometric-analysis-of-the-fake-heartland-climate-strategy-memo-concludes-peter-gleick-is-the-likely-forgery/>

That reinforces the fact that climate alarmists lack the empirical scientific data needed to justify their core claim.

Is accountability in such groups nonexistent? Quote: *“But hey, this is climate science politics, so anything goes.”*

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/06/06/pacific-institute-reinstates-peter-gleick/>

And:

<http://fakegate.org/>

And:

<http://dotearth.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/06/08/peter-gleicks-pacific-institute-return/>

Are industry bodies nongovernment organisations when they’re hijacked to advocate for government policy cutting human CO<sub>2</sub> output? Or are they merely duped “*useful idiots*.” Or are they vested interests riding the taxpayer-funded gravy train? See Appendix 14 for notes on Heather Ridout’s industry connections and government connections prior to her appointment as a governor of the Reserve Bank of Australia and decide for yourself.

Many advocating that human CO<sub>2</sub> drives global climate take every opportunity to spread false climate claims. Every avenue is used to mould people's opinion. Consider Wikipedia infected by a climate activist to misrepresent climate and science:

<http://newsbusters.org/blogs/lachlan-markay/2010/10/21/wikipedia-bans-radical-global-warming-propagandist-editing-all-pages>

Quote: "All told, Connolley created or rewrote 5,428 unique Wikipedia articles. His control over Wikipedia was greater still, however, through the role he obtained at Wikipedia as a website administrator, which allowed him to act with virtual impunity. *When Connolley didn't like the subject of a certain article, he removed it — more than 500 articles of various descriptions disappeared at his hand.* When he disapproved of the arguments that others were making, he often had them barred — over 2,000 Wikipedia contributors who ran afoul of him found themselves blocked from making further contributions. Acolytes whose writing conformed to Connolley's global warming views, in contrast, were rewarded with Wikipedia's blessings. *In these ways, Connolley turned Wikipedia into the missionary wing of the global warming movement.*"

John Cook heads the web site known as [www.skepticalscience.com](http://www.skepticalscience.com). It promotes unfounded climate alarm and pretends to debunk climate sceptics.

A volunteer at The Galileo Movement invited John Cook to provide empirical scientific evidence that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused Earth's latest modest cyclic global ATMOSPHERIC warming that ended in 1998. Why did John Cook fail to do so? See the Twitter exchange here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1308\\_JohnCookTwitter.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1308_JohnCookTwitter.pdf)

Does ABC reporter Wendy Carlisle support John Cook's unfounded position contradicting empirical scientific evidence? If so, why?

Is there a connection between Stefan Lewandowsky (Appendix 9) and Skeptical Science web site?

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/09/23/skeptical-science-conspiracy-theorist-john-cook-runs-another-survey-trying-to-prove-that-false-97-of-climate-scientists-believe-in-global-warming-meme/>

It seems that birds of a feather do flock together.

## **George Soros' GetUp!**

Two other birds of a feather reportedly flocking together are rogue international banker and GetUp!

Amy McGrath's book reveals rogue international financier George Soros funds GetUp! He's known for crippling nations to push global governance (Appendix 14) and to take control of national governments and political parties.

[http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps\\_Cloting.pdf](http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps_Cloting.pdf)

Other funding sources for GetUp! reportedly include the AWU, CFMEU and other prominent Australian unions. GetUp! is tied to the ALP. Prominent ALP Member of Parliament Greg Shorten was an early GetUp director.

<http://www.menzieshouse.com.au/2010/11/there-is-something-deceitful-and-repugnant-about-an-organisation-which-claims-to-be-independent-and-non-partisan-but-is.html>

During the week of November 18<sup>th</sup>, 2010, quote: “*Senator Eric Abetz delivered this speech to the Senate this week regarding the lack of independence of GetUp!*”

*There is something deceitful and repugnant about an organisation which:*

- *Claims to be independent and non-partisan, but is actively partisan.*
- *Which campaigns on particular popular issues but is really only interested in funneling votes to the Greens and Labor*
- *Which at each election pretends to independently assess the policies of the various political parties when the result is a foregone conclusion*
- *Which pretends to educate young people about policy issues but takes advantage of their political inexperience*

*And which preaches openness but is secretive about its own financial affairs.”*

GetUp! deceitfully cultivates the false perception that it’s a grass-roots organisation when reality reveals it’s a *top-down* organization pushing control. Appendix 14 identified key factors undermining Australia. GetUp! pushes some of these, including, destructive taxes, control of industry, treaties and destruction of family. It advocates control of media.

Quote: “*Organizing groups often seek out issues they know will generate controversy and conflict. This allows them to draw in and educate participants, build commitment, and establish a reputation for winning. Thus, community organizing is usually focused on more than just resolving specific issues. In fact, specific issues are often vehicles for other organizational goals as much as they are ends in themselves.*”

Quote: “*Amanda Tattersall describes herself as founder and chair of GetUp. Previously, she was a Labor staffer. When GetUp was founded, Ms Tattersall was a special projects officer with Unions NSW. She is now Deputy Assistant Secretary of Unions NSW. GetUp’s 2005-06 annual report tells us:*”

Quote: “*In short, the criticism is not what they do but how they seek to portray what they do. In a free democracy such as Australia’s, of course, any organisation should be free to speak and advocate as they want. But, when they are so clearly and extremely left-wing, to try to portray themselves as simply issues based—they are anything but—is a deception of the Australian people against which the Australian people need to be protected.*”

Many people and groups advocate a political position. It’s part of living in a democracy. Why though does GetUp! need to be secretive, undemocratic and manipulative? Why does it need to deceitfully hide the reality of its operation?

GetUp has close ties with unions embroiled in scandals reportedly suspected of involving widespread fraud of union funds. In being open to corruption, have the scandal-plagued ALP and union movement been coopted to push a global agenda?

America's Democratic Party is reportedly controlled in many ways by George Soros. See *Wolves in Sheep's Clothing* by Amy McGrath and available here:  
[http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps\\_Clothing.pdf](http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps_Clothing.pdf)

Anna Rose has close ties to GetUp! She's founder and Chairman of the Australian Youth Climate Coalition. Why did she need to state falsities on *Q&A Climate Debate*, when in reference to Nick Minchin's accurate summary of empirically measured global temperatures since 1998 she said, quote: "*That's just not true, Nick.*" Is that the method advocates of alarm use to avoid or dismiss facts?

### **A matter of life and death doesn't deter ideologues**

John Cribbes is a retired accountant actively protecting native Australian animals from policies of ignorant extreme dark green activists. Please refer to his emails explaining the damage done by fires due to extreme activists preventing sound forestry management.

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1501\\_VictorianEmails.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1501_VictorianEmails.pdf)

Survivors of Victoria's 2009 fires reportedly wonder why politicians and media rarely discuss root causes of fire intensity and sabotaging of fire trails by activists?

This is a matter of life and death for animals—and people. It seems that we need to campaign for human rights? That would start by Australia exiting the corrupt UN.

In addition to natural variation, fire intensity is a function of fuel loads due to forestry management or lack of management.

In a variety of issues around Australia caring people like John Cribbes are thwarted by antihuman, antinature and antienvironment NGO campaigns based on unfounded or exaggerated fear pushing ideology. Those campaigns are packaged in Nature yet reveal profound ignorance of Nature.

As Joan Kirner and John Cain demonstrated though, when political power is at stake facts can be ignored. Both ALP premiers did whatever they needed to do to grab power. That required doing what the Greens instructed them to do despite the cost to Victoria and Victorians.

In ways similar to the early Greens party, NGO's conjure events and perceptions to wield power.

### **Summary from an internationally respected scientist in the field**

Highly respected marine biologist Walter Starck reveals the reality of many nongovernment organisations in his article dated December 18<sup>th</sup>, 2012 entitled *Government by NGO* available here:

<http://www.quadrant.org.au/blogs/doomed-planet/2012/12/government-by-ngo>

He explains methods used by NGO's to obtain power, earn fees from innocent people and blackmail businesses and communities.

He provides examples of damage done by major NGO's, quote: "Whatever their motivations and competence, the direction the environmentalists are taking us does not look good. Consider just a few points:

- With the world's largest per capita fishing zone we have restricted our industry to the world's lowest harvest rate and must import nearly three-quarters of the seafood we consume. All these imports are coming from resources much more heavily exploited than our own.
- With extensive native forests of some of the most durable timbers in the world we have prohibited its harvest. Instead, we build our extravagantly costly housing with low-grade plantation pine, which will greatly reduce the useful life of those structures.
- Across the entire spectrum of our primary producers, participation, productivity and profitability are all steadily declining under the burden of ever-increasing environmental restrictions and demands. The fastest-rising food prices in the OECD world and 30% of our fresh produce now coming from imports are the direct consequences.
- Aquaculture is the fastest growing food producing sector in the world; but, in Australia it has been stagnant for a decade, despite having better natural conditions for it than anywhere else. The sole reason for the lack of aquaculture development here is insanely complex, uncertain and costly environmental restrictions and requirements.
- Liquid fuel is indispensable for transport and heavy mobile machinery. Tractors, trucks, trains, planes, ships and bulldozers cannot be run on batteries, at least with any existing technology. We have to import about 60% of our liquid fuel and that portion is expected to rise to 80% by 2020. With proven technology we could economically produce all of the liquid fuel we require from coal and or natural gas. Such fuel would be cheaper, cleaner, more secure and save about \$18 billion per annum on our trade deficit at current prices. The only reason we do not do this is adherence to environmental correctness regarding CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuels. Even more idiotic, we still emit the CO<sub>2</sub> by using the imported fuel.
- Electricity prices in Australia are higher than in countries buying coal from us to generate their power, even though they must ship it thousands of miles to their power stations while ours are located right next to the mines. Again, it is misguided environmental regulations that play a major role in this economic travesty.

Surely environmentalism must be God's (or perhaps Allah's) gift to terrorism. If one wanted to wreak havoc on this nation there couldn't be a better means. Explosives are difficult to obtain and put in place without getting caught. The damage they inflict is also very limited in time and place.

Environmentalism, however, makes it easy to strike at the very heart of the economy. It can be done safely and openly. The damage is widespread and ongoing. The government will even help with grants and regulations. To top it off, the perpetrators will be seen by many as righteous heroes. If you get off on inflicting human misery and carnage, you don't have to forego that pleasure. Hundreds of bankruptcies, family breakups and suicides every year among primary producers should provide ample satisfaction for even the most sadistic misanthropist.

If one were aiming for maximal damage one might try things like making large

areas of the ocean off limits to fishing, prohibiting logging in native forests, cutting back farmers' use of water for irrigation, forcing graziers to let their best grazing land be taken over by useless scrub, and imposing expensive, arbitrary, ever-changing environmental demands on aquaculture and small miners. Then, for anyone doing anything productive, add a morass of fees, restrictions and requirements for no practical purpose other than harassment. Finally, enforce it all with severe fines for any infringement.

That this kind of thing has been growing progressively worse every year is clearly apparent. That **malignant environmentalism** has been a driving force is also obvious. The only thing not clear is how much of it is simply due to well-meaning ignorance and incompetence, and how much is deliberate malfeasance born of malicious intent.”

End of quote.

Quote: “*The farmers, graziers, fishermen, foresters and miners who provide our food, our houses and the energy which makes life comfortable have themselves become an endangered species.*”

Quote: “*One thing seems certain and that is management of the environment on which we all ultimately depend for survival is far too important to leave in the hands of self-appointed amateurs and bureaucratic meddlers playing with computer games in air-conditioned offices.*”

## Conclusions

Why do major NGO's deceitfully pretend to protect the environment yet disrespect Nature while pushing policies that are anti-environment and antihuman?

In the case of WWF it's because WWF operates as if it's part of the UN. This is revealed by Henry Lamb in Part 4 of his video series on global governance:

<http://shelf3d.com/IDxxd8ycxCI#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%20%204>

This returns us to Appendix 14 and global governance. It's all about pushing global governance stealthily. It's about control: control of resources, land, people and finances. NGO's are simply another component of the UN's collection of agencies pushing control on behalf of international bankers.

*“It's discouraging to think how many people are shocked by honesty  
and how few by deceit.”*

Noel Coward, *Blithe Spirit*