From: Malcolm Roberts <catalyst@eis.net.au>

Subject: Reply to Steve Austin - Steve Austin Re: Climate change / CSIRO Report

Date: 15 February 2010 10:39:44 AM AEST

To: Stephen Austin < Austin. Stephen@abc.net.au>

Cc: Tony Delroy <delroy.tony@abc.net.au>, Kelly Higgins-Devine <higginsdevine.kelly@abc.net.au>, Michael Spencer < michaelspencer 2@bigpond.com>, Vanessa Wiltshire < wiltshire.vanessa@abc.net.au>, Geraldine Doogue <geraldine.doogue@abc.net.au>, Tony Jones <tony.jones@abc.net.au>, Fran Kelly

<fran.kelly@abc.net.au>, Kerry O'Brien <kerry.o'brien@abc.net.au>, Margot O'Neill

<margot.o'neill@abc.net.au>

2 Attachments, 121 KB

Steve:

Thank you for your e-mail and challenge.

I gladly accept your challenge subject to one simple condition below to ensure your commitment and accountability.

Before that though I want to address your apparent annoyance and concern that I may be just a 'keyboard crank'. I want to address your possible needs for reassurance and understanding - and maybe your need for responsibility on my part.

I want to provide you with some clarity and reassurance on my purpose and intent.

Please understand that while some recipients of my e-mails have forwarded them to friends/colleagues around the world resulting in requests for more people's addresses to be added to my lists, some other recipients have requested that their address be removed. I have always cheerfully complied with both types of request by adding or removing e-mail addresses immediately.

If you or any other recipient wants to be removed from my e-mail list, please say so and it will be done immediately I receive your e-mail. If you or any other recipient wants to add a friend's/colleague's name to my list, please advise and I will do so immediately upon receipt of your e-mail.

Steve, if I were simply a keyboard crank, to use your term, that would be disrespectful of my own time and others' time. That would be disrespectful of you and of me.

It is a wonderful surprise to receive your e-mail. I welcome the connection and recall your inquiry last year about the possibility of participating on your radio program which at the time I welcomed and still do - albeit initially nervously since that would be a new challenge.

As an aside, in an amazing coincidence, your e-mail arrived on the day I wrote letters to both the Chief Scientist, Professor Penny Sackett and to the CSIRO Chief Executive, Megan Clark. Copies attached.

Secondly, this fraud on the part of the UN IPCC and seemingly on the part of the government and the weakness of the opposition has so greatly concerned me and a number of friends that I have been selling assets to enable me to provide for my family while I read/research the topic. I am taking it very seriously.

My family and I are making a personal commitment to protecting freedom and the natural environment.

Thirdly, Steve, some background for you. During my career I've held positions directly responsible for the lives and welfare of hundreds of people. Fulfilling those responsibilities for people's lives depended on a knowledge of carbon dioxide and other gases. I have known from the start of this global warming myth that carbon dioxide cannot do what is claimed of it.

Nonetheless, I was initially overawed by the might of the army of scientists purportedly arrayed in support of the notion that human carbon dioxide production was threatening our planet with catastrophic damage. How can 'little 'ol me be right against this army of scientists and celebraties and politicians'? Surely, 'I must be wrong'?

Yet I trusted my instinct. Rather than just argue irresponsibly though, I devoted a considerable portion of the last two years researching for myself. That included attending The First International Conference on Climate Change in New York in March, 2008 addressed by the world's eminent climate scientists. I felt their passion and that surprised me. I discovered that many of the scientists denouncing the UN IPCC (the government's basis for its climate policies) were UN IPCC

scientists.

I felt reassured, invigorated, determined.

Yet did not reach for my keyboard. I needed much more. I read thousands of pages of scientific books, journals/papers and articles. Those scientific publications in turn reference thousands more scientific publications.

Steve, the science reassured me I was on solid ground. Nonetheless, I still doubted myself. After all, I wondered, who am I against the might of politicians and the *supposed* army of scientists claimed to be supporting the government? I decided to understand the origins of climate alarm and the motives driving climate alarm.

Once the Bandwagon of Beneficiaries of climate alarm was identified, I realised what is happening. John McLean's outstanding articles on UN IPCC reporting processes stunned me. His articles cannot be sensibly refuted since he merely presents data obtained from the UN IPCC itself. That data reveals that the army of scientists supposedly supporting the notion that humans caused global warming is a mirage.

McLean's articles simply confirmed the claims of many UN IPCC scientists who had been shouting about the mirage yet politicians and the mainstream media remained deaf. That's when I was galvanised. That's when I turned to the keyboard for the first time in my life.

My initial aim was a short series of e-mail letters to the Editor and federal MP's. Two things happened. I received many responses urging me to continue. More significantly, I realised many of our politicians are clueless and/or afraid of facing reality. The low levels of many politicians' awareness and responsibility and their apparent lack of integrity amazed me. And shocked me.

I hope you're finding reassurance by understanding my purpose and intent. I trust you can see that prior to hunting and pecking buttons on my keyboard, I developed a firm foundation.

I'm wondering if you have any feel, Steve, for the amount of work that went into researching - and especially shortening - my document sent last December 16th, 'Thriving with Nature & Humanity'?

This is typical of the passionate, informed and solid responses and challenges being spontaneously and independently generated on thousands of other keyboards around the world - without financial support and grants. Climate realists are challenging the UN IPCC's fraud and the bandwagon of beneficiaries because we are incensed with the UN IPCC's deceit, politicians' irresponsibility and every Emissions Trading Scheme's huge unjustified threat to devastating our economy and our children's futures.

People are stunned and angry about the false and non-existent environmental threat and the derailment of real environmental issues. We see this as a serious moral issue.

I have been pleasingly stunned by the uncoordinated yet willing sharing of data across the net world-wide.

Steve, I'm accepting your challenge. Given current priorities, please accept that I may not be able to respond immediately. My wife has recently been in hospital for a major operation and will soon be visiting her mother in the USA after I return from interstate next week. Thereafter I will be house husband for a couple of weeks and that involves caring for our two children.

I have downloaded the CSIRO report entitled: "The Science of Tackling Climate Change.

I am ready to start reading it in preparation for responding to your challenge.

My condition in accepting your challenge is that I will provide my response on the CSIRO report to you after you advise that you have read my latest document e-mailed last Thursday: 'Two Dead Elephants in Parliament'. To make it easy for readers, that document has been laid out with a five page summary. That's followed by supporting details presented in a way that readers can easily skim the document by reading sub-headings and diving into detail and references as they wish.

Please forgive my lack of journalism training.

My condition seems fair and balanced. I'll do some work for you and the ABC for free and all that's expected in return is that you read a document. Is that a deal, Steve?

Please advise and if you agree I'll start work. CSIRO's document is already downloaded. I'm ready.

180 Haven Road Pullenvale QLD 4069 Phone: 07 3374 3374 E-mail: catalyst@eis.net.au

Friday, February 12th, 2010

Professor Penny Sackett Chief Scientist GPO Box 9839 CANBERRA ACT 2601

Dear Dr Sackett:

Re: Corruption of Climate Science - Catalogue of apparent Climate Fraud

For two years I have been alarmed by UN IPCC claims on climate. The enclosed document, 'Two Dead Elephants in Parliament' catalogues my concerns of the UN IPCC.

Given this catalogue of UN IPCC fraud and disturbing recent burgeoning revelations about UN IPCC advice to our government, I feel alarmed about the government's climate policy. Could you please meet my needs for reassurance, security and ease by addressing the following requests?

Firstly, please advise whether or not you will be recommending an independent inquiry into UN IPCC climate advice to the government. If you will not be recommending an independent inquiry, please advise justification for your decision. If you will be recommending an inquiry, please advise how you will ensure it is independent and advise its likely date of commencement.

Secondly, as you are the Chief Scientist, and given the accompanying catalogue, could you please provide me with one piece of concrete, scientifically measured, real-world evidence that human production of carbon dioxide caused Earth's latest modest cyclic warming that ended around 1998. Please also provide scientifically measured real-world data showing Nature was not responsible.

I look forward to you meeting needs for reassurance and for our nation's protection.

Yours sincerely,

Malcolm Roberts

BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAUSIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

Enclosures: 'Two Dead Elephants in Parliament'

180 Haven Road Pullenvale QLD 4069 Phone: 07 3374 3374 E-mail: catalyst@eis.net a

E-mail: catalyst@eis.net.au
Friday, February 12th, 2010

Dr Megan Clark Chief Executive Office of the the Chief Executive PO Box 225

DICKSON ACT 2602

Dear Dr Clark:

Re: Corruption of Climate Science - Catalogue of apparent Climate Fraud

For two years I have been alarmed by UN IPCC claims on climate. The enclosed document, "Two Dead Elephants in Parliament" catalogues my concerns of the UN IPCC.

Given this catalogue of UN IPCC fraud and disturbing recent burgeoning revelations about UN IPCC advice to our government, I feel alarmed about the government's climate policy. Could you please meet my needs for reassurance, security and ease by addressing the following requests?

Firstly, please advise whether or not you will be recommending an independent inquiry into UN IPCC climate advice to the government. If you will not be recommending an independent inquiry, please advise justification for your decision. If you will be recommending an inquiry, please advise how you will ensure it is independent and advise its likely date of commencement.

Secondly, you are the Executive of an organisation implying global warming was due to human production of carbon dioxide. Given this, and given the accompanying catalogue, please provide me with one piece of concrete, scientifically measured, real-world evidence that human production of carbon dioxide caused Earth's latest modest cyclic warming that ended around 1998. Please also provide scientifically measured real-world data showing Nature was not responsible.

I look forward to you meeting needs for reassurance and for our nation's protection.

Yours sincerely,

Malcolm Roberts

BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)

Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAUSIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

Enclosures: 'Two Dead Elephants in Parliament'

On 12/02/2010, at 2:56 PM, Stephen Austin wrote:

From: Stephen Austin

Sent: Thursday, 11 February 2010 5:41 PM

To: 'catalyst@eis.net.au'

Cc: Margot O'Neill; Kerry O'Brien; Fran Kelly; Tony Jones; Geraldine Doogue; Spencer Howson; Tony Delroy; Kelly Higgins-Devine **Subject:** Climate change / CSIRO Report

Attention: Mr Malcolm Roberts

Dear Mr Roberts, for some time now I have been receiving a barrage of your unsolicited emails about climate change and your analysis of the IPCC flaws.

Leaving aside that it is normally regarded as rude to bombard a person with unsolicited emails I ask that you consider to try a more courteous, if not useful approach.

I have decided that given you seem to have so much time on your hands as a 'management consultant', I would like to put you to work.

Attached to this email is a copy of the CSIRO's report on "The Science of tackling climate change". It is the official CSIRO document provided by the head of CSIRO, Dr Megan Clarke.

As you know CSIRO had a great number of scientist contributed to the IPCC report, as Dr Clarke told the National Press Club in Canberra late 2009.

I interviewed the Chief Executive of the CSIRO Dr Clarke recently and she made it quite clear that they stood by their research and the data they have provided that supports the general concerns about sea levels rises, shifting climate and water data.

So if you really are a truly independent researcher please read through the Australian scientific paper and identify where you believe the CSIRO data has been falsified or is wrong.

If you do this I will present your data to the CSIRO for rebuttal or correction.

This will help satisfy me as a journalist that you are not just a 'keyboard crank', but a credible critic of climate change scientific theory.

(Please note that I have c.c.'d the other ABC people you have been emailing.)

Yours sincerely

<image001.png>Steve Austin

Presenter, Statewide Evenings QLD

Phone +61 7 3377 5160

Email <u>austin.stephen@abc.net.au</u>

Work www.blogs.abc.net.au/queensland/612 evenings

Address GPO Box 9994, Brisbane 4001

"7-10pm every weeknight on 612 ABC Brisbane, ABC Local Radio QLD, ABC Digital and the World Wide Web."

From: Malcolm Roberts [mailto:catalyst@eis.net.au]

Sent: Thursday, 11 February 2010 3:49 PM

To: Stephen Austin; Tony Delroy; Kelly Higgins-Devine; Spencer Howson; Vanessa Wiltshire; Geraldine Doogue; Tony Jones; Fran

Kelly; Kerry O'Brien; Margot O'Neill

Subject: Fwd: Two Dead Elephants in Parliament - No.1 in a Series Exposing Climate Fraud Details Personally to all Senators

Begin forwarded message:

Subject: Two Dead Elephants in Parliament - No.1 in a Series Exposing Climate Fraud Details Personally to all Senators

To federal MP's and letters to the Editor

97 words

Two Dead Elephants in Parliament - No.1 in a Series Exposing Climate Fraud Details Personally to all Senators

Two Dead Elephants in Parliament' (attached) summarises UN IPCC climate fraud followed by a detailed cataloguing of the fraud.

Accompanied by personal letters, it was posted to all senators including Senator Wong by Registered Post. Copies are on their way by Registered Post to Tony Abbott and Kevin Rudd.

It seems clear that Senator Wong and possibly the PM, have been playing Aussies for mugs. As demonstrated by correspondence from Senator Wong to Senator Furner, she's seemingly playing the Australian parliament, particularly ALP members, for mugs.

The attached catalogue's number and seriousness of facts is startling, alarming.

Malcolm Roberts
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAUSIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

180 Haven Road
Pullenvale QLD 4069
Phone:
Home 07 3374 3374
Mobile 04 1964 2379
E-mail: catalyst@eis.net.au

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

The information contained in this email and any attachment is confidential and may contain legally privileged or copyright material. It is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not permitted to disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The ABC does not represent or warrant that this transmission is secure or virus free. Before opening any attachment you should check for viruses. The ABC's liability is limited to resupplying any email and attachments. <MEGAN'S HANDOUT NPC ADDRESSpdf.pdf>